




STATEMENT OF PHILIP ARUNDEL VAN DER WESTHUIZEN

“Criminal Procedure & Evidence Act 2011 ss 187 and 405; Criminal Procedure Rules r27.2” – Inserted as advised.

Statement of: **PHILIP ARUNDEL VAN DER WESTHUIZEN:-**

1. I am Philip Arundel van der Westhuizen of P.O. Box 561, PMB 205, Gibraltar.
2. I hereby state that I am of sound mind and that all declarations herein are true, and they are the whole truth as they pertain to the issues stated herein.
3. I have been sent here by God to do His work, because Gibraltar has a unique and special destiny, which I am a part of, and while I was doing that work on Thursday 19th November 2015 at about 15h45; distributing the 5th Gibraltar Messenger Newsletter – Christ’s Election Manifesto, door to door in the Europa Housing Estate, I was photographed by a young man without my permission and against my wishes, who then reported me and the newsletter to the Military Police, who detained me, and then handed me over to the Royal Gibraltar Police (RGP). (See Appendix 5).
4. The RGP charged me with *[sic]* “Being a Non Gibraltarian in Gibraltar without a valid permit or certificate, Contrary to Section 62a A of the Immigration, Asylum and Refugee Act”, detained me at the New Mole Police Station overnight pending the hearing the next day, at which I was informed that I had to re-appear in court for a final hearing on Friday 2nd February 2016 at 11am.
5. While at the police station I was also informed that I had been charged with *[sic]* “Printing and distributing offensive material”, and the copies of Christ’s Election Manifesto that I had on me were taken / stolen and have not been returned, despite attempts to get them back. I received no formal documentation except for a “Custody Suite” receipt, which states that I have been bailed out on that charge on condition that I re-appear at the New Mole Police Station at 18h00 on Thursday 28th January 2016. (See Appendix 5).

Which all fits Christ’s 2,000-year-old Prophecy:



Luke 21:12 But before all these, they shall lay their hands on you, and persecute [you], delivering [you] up to the churches, and into prisons, being brought before kings and rulers for my name's sake. King of king's Bible by JAH.

6. I declare that I have no prior convictions, either in Gibraltar or elsewhere, and that I am not guilty of either of these two charges, because the truth is not offensive, and the legislation under which I was charged, is fraudulent, because the Law of God, which is contained in the Authorised 1611 King James Bible; being the Pentateuch / Torah, which means law; the first five books of The Old Testament, specifically **forbids** legislation, and the Coronation Oath of the pretended head of law; Mrs. Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg, which she swore, upon the Sovereign's version of That Bible, and then she kissed it, as witnessed worldwide on television, states "... I will to the **utmost** of my power **maintain** the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel" ... and ... "These things which I have here before **promised**, **I will** perform and keep. So help me God." (See Appendices 1 & 2 & Exhibits 1 & 2).

Deuteronomy 4:2 **Ye shall not ADD unto the word** which I command you, **neither shall ye diminish [ought] from it**, that ye may keep the **Commandments** of the "I AM" your God which I **COMMAND** you.

She has massively broken that promise. And Jesus Christ also warned:

Matthew 5:17 **Think not that I am come to destroy The Law, or the Prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfill.**

5:18 **For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no way pass from The Law, till all be fulfilled.**

5:19 **Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least COMMANDments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the Kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach [them], the same shall be called great in the Kingdom of heaven.** King of king's Bible by JAH.

7. Furthermore Mrs. Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg's coronation was fraudulent, which rendered her as being an unlawful sovereign, a criminal and thus an unlawful head of law from the start.

She was the first British monarch since King David, to not be crowned upon the original 458lbs Bethel-porphry Coronation Stone; "National Treasure

Number 1”, also known as The Stone of Destiny / Lia Fail / Bethel and Jacob’s Pillar, and which also happened to spend five months in St Michael’s cave in 583BC, while en route to Ireland from Jerusalem, with Jeremiah the Prophet and queen Teia Tephî; the daughter of the deposed king; Zedekiah, of Jerusalem, at its fall. She has also been identified as Britannia by JAH / Muad’Dib. The trident that she is shown holding in her famous emblem, she won from Gibraltar’s Neptune worshippers following which she was crowned Gibraltar’s queen. And Gibraltarians are also Saxons / (I)saac’s sons - Genesis 21:12, of the Tribe of Gad. (See Appendices 1,2, 3 & 4).

Mrs. Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg was crowned, knowingly, upon a fake 336lbs Scottish-sandstone copy, which was cut from a quarry near Scone in Perthshire Scotland. (See Appendices 1,2, 3 & 4).

8. And furthermore, she was not only unlawfully crowned, she is massively in breach of contract, having given royal assent to multiple acts of legislation and having committed various acts, which directly contravene The Laws of God, and which carry the death-penalty, and she has also been found guilty of crimes against humanity. (See Appendices 1 & 2 & Exhibits 3, 4 & 5).
9. Therefore, neither she, nor any court or judge which gets its/his authority from her, have any power or jurisdiction to prosecute me, and all proceedings against me are therefore null and void, or *ultra vires*, to use the legalese that is also forbidden by Deuteronomy 4:2. (See Appendices 1 & 2).
10. Therefore, for the hearing to be fair, she and the Sovereign’s Authorised 1611 king James Bible, which is kept at Lambeth Palace, and the Coronation Oath that she signed, must be physically present in the court on Friday 2nd February 2016 at 11am. (See Appendices 1 & 2 & Exhibits 1 & 2).
11. The right to freedom of speech must also be protected, and particularly when it is the truth, which is not always appreciated by those who are against the truth. Even the distribution of the controversial GSLP-Liberal Alliance’s election manifesto, was not interfered with by the RGP.
12. And for the record, because it seems to be a common misconception, one of the officers at the New Mole Police station mistakenly quoted Apostle Paul’s Romans 13:1, to justify their actions, firstly by reading from a New International Version Bible (NIV), instead of from an **Authorised** 1611 king

James Bible which correctly states: “Let every **soul** be subject to the higher powers ...”, which “soul” refers to the “beings” / souls of human+**Beings** / bodies & **Souls**, of which the Supreme “Being” (God) is the Highest Power, and the souls / beings that He appoints to represent Him are the higher powers.

He did NOT appoint Mrs. Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg, or the RGP to be the higher powers. He removed the Coronation Stone precisely to **prevent** her from being crowned on it, and thereby appointed over anyone, and for anyone to be her subject. (See Appendices 1,2 & 3).

The NIV incorrectly states: “Everyone must submit himself to the governing authorities, ...”, which “humans”, in human-appointed governments, mistakenly rely upon to enact and enforce “human” legislation, all of which actions, and more, are anti-Torah, anti-God and anti-Christ, as here proven, and are capital offences.

Deuteronomy 17:14 When thou art come unto the land which the "I AM" thy God giveth thee, and shalt possess it, and shalt dwell therein, and shalt say, I will set a king over me, like as all the nations that [are] about me;
17:15 Thou shalt in any wise set [him] king over thee, **whom the "I AM" thy God shall choose**: [one] from among thy brethren shalt thou set king over thee: thou mayest not set a stranger (a gentile) over thee, which [is] not thy brother. King of king's Bible by JAH.

And secondly he quoted Paul, instead of Jesus Whose words are easier to understand and Who is the higher power above His re-born-in-spirit-babe student disciples anyway, and particularly that He plainly warned that the Laws of God prevail until The End, and which **prohibit** human legislation.

John 3:3 Jesus answered and said unto him, **Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born from above, he cannot SEE the Kingdom of God.**

3:4 Nicodemus saith unto him, How can a man be born when he is old? Can he enter the second time into his mother's womb, and be born?

3:5 Jesus answered, **Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born of water (human) and then is born (later) from above as his spirit-"Being" (his REAL self which is NOT human), he can NOT enter into the Kingdom of God (Who is a Spirit-"Being").**

3:6 That which is born of the flesh is human; and that which is born of the

Spirit is spirit (a spirit-"Being") - (a human+Being). King of king's Bible by JAH.

13. But, in any case, I am also not a foreigner. I am British born, and blood trumps the bits of paper and fraudulent legal definitions. My granddad, Hugh Rivers Arundel, was British born, and both my late mother and my brother have British passports. Proofs can be presented at the hearing.
14. I have also been entirely self-supporting during the three years that I have been here, taking nothing from Gibraltar, and in fact contributing with all the purchasing that I do.
15. I am asking the "pretended" authorities not to hinder my work for God, and instead to assist God, by supplying me with a Gibraltar passport, so that that lady's vision, which she spoke of in the Bethel Church 20 years ago, of a large diamond lighting the whole world from Gibraltar; Gibraltar's special destiny, can come to fruition with my much needed help. That vision is reported in the 4th Gibraltar Messenger Newsletter. (See Appendix 6).

Signed: _____



Date: _____

21/12/2015

See list of attachments next:

List of Attachments to Statement:

- Appendix 1 Detailed Arguments Challenging Mrs. Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg's and the Court's Jurisdiction.
- Exhibit 1 Copy of Mrs. Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg's Signed Coronation Oath.
- Exhibit 2 Sovereign's Coronation Bible Listing at Lambeth Palace.
- Exhibit 3 Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Commission - Bush and Blair Found Guilty of War Crimes – Judgement.
- Exhibit 4 Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Commission - Bush and Blair Found Guilty of War Crimes – Press TV Report.
- Exhibit 5 International Common Law Court of Justice – International Citizens Arrest Warrant for Mrs. Elizabeth Windsor / Battenberg and others.
- Appendix 2 Executive Summary of the above Arguments Challenging Mrs. Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg's and the Court's Jurisdiction.
- Appendix 3 The Importance of the Coronation Stone.
- Appendix 4 Gibraltar's Unique and Special Destiny.
- Appendix 5 5th Gibraltar Messenger Newsletter – Christ's Election Manifesto.
- Appendix 6 4th Gibraltar Messenger Newsletter.

King of kings' Bible by JAH <http://JAHTruth.net/kofkad>

REGINA / THE QUEEN

V

PHILIP ARUNDEL VAN DER WESTHUIZEN

LAWFUL ARGUMENT AGAINST JURISDICTION & SOVEREIGNTY**1. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg's Fraudulent Coronation.**

1. The person who purports to be the queen has never, in fact, rightfully or Lawfully been crowned as the Sovereign. This knowledge stems from the fact that the Coronation Stone / The Stone of Destiny / Bethel / Jacob's Pillar that Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg was crowned upon is a fake. The real Coronation Stone; made from Bethel porphyry, weighing more than 4cwt. (458lbs.) according to the BBC telex in the film "The Coronation Stone", (Covenant Recordings), and Ian R. Hamilton Q.C. in three of his books: "No Stone Unturned" (pages 36, 44), "A Touch of Treason" (page 50) and "The Taking of The Stone of Destiny" (pages 27, 35); was removed from Westminster Abbey at 04:00 hrs on the 25th of December in 1950, by his group of four Scottish Nationalist students, which included and was led by Ian Robertson Hamilton himself. The other three were Alan Stuart, Gavin Vernon and Kay Matheson, as stated in his books. Further details at: <http://jahtruth.net/stone.htm> .
2. The real Coronation Stone ("**National Treasure No. 1**"), was taken to Scotland where, in Glasgow, it was handed over to Bertie Gray to repair it, and was later hidden by industrialist and philanthropist John Rollo in his factory, under his office-floor, according to Ian R. Hamilton's books – "No Stone Unturned" and "The Taking of The Stone of Destiny", and the factory-manager.
3. A fake stone copy had previously been made in 1920 by stone-mason, Bertie Gray, for a prior plan to repatriate the Coronation Stone, and it was made of Scottish sandstone from a quarry near Scone in Perthshire, weighing 3cwt. (336lbs.). The conspirators had used it to practice with, before going to London to Westminster Abbey to remove the real Coronation Stone from the abbey. It was that fake stone copy which was placed on the High Altar Stone at Arbroath Abbey, at Midday on the 11th April of 1951, wrapped in a Scottish Saltyre (St. Andrew's Flag – Dark blue with white diagonal cross on it) and found by the authorities, then transported to England, where it was used for the "queen's" coronation, according to Bertie Gray's children in a Daily Record Newspaper article.

<http://www.dailyrecord.co.uk/news/uk-world-news/2008/06/29/exclusive-our-dad-faked-stone-of-destiny-claim-family-of-stonemason-who-repaired-relic-78057-20624793/>

4. The stone upon which Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg was crowned weighs exactly 3cwt (336lbs.) as attested to by Historic Scotland in their official booklet titled "The Stone of Destiny", "Symbol of Nationhood", obtainable from Edinburgh Castle, published by Historic Scotland, (ISBN 1 900168 44 8), who have had the stone that she was crowned on in their care, in Edinburgh Castle, since it was returned to Scotland by John Major's Conservative government in 1996.
5. As previously stated, the genuine Coronation Stone weighs more than 4 cwt. (458lbs.), but the one that Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg was crowned on, that has been on display in Edinburgh Castle since 1996, weighs 336lbs, not 458lbs., and thus cannot be the genuine Coronation Stone.

Therefore, never having been Lawfully crowned, she has NO authority to put the defendant on trial and the judge has NO authority to try him, because the judge's "authority" comes from her.

Further, and without prejudice to the above...

2. Some of Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg's other Crimes.

Sample Crimes/Points of Law:-

1. Mrs. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg/Mountbatten; un-Lawfully residing in Buckingham Palace, London; also known by the criminal aliases Windsor and QE2, was knowingly and willfully, with malice-aforethought, fraudulently crowned on a fake Coronation Stone / Lia Fail / Stone of Destiny / Bethel / Jacob's Pillar on June 2nd in 1953, and has been fraudulently masquerading as the rightful British Sovereign/Crown for the last 58 years, which the Defendant can prove beyond doubt. It is Mrs. Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg who should be arrested and charged; for her innumerable acts of high-treason against God and Christ, Whose church she falsely claims to head and in defiance of Whom she had herself fraudulently crowned, and Whom she has continued to rule in defiance of, and in opposition to, ever since; not the Defendant.
2. Allowing people to legislate in defiance of God's Law (Deuteronomy 4:2, 12:32) that she swore and affirmed, in writing, to maintain to the utmost of her power (Exhibit 1), and, in many cases, actually reversing what The Law states into being the very opposite of it. She has fraudulently imprisoned and punished people for enforcing The Law themselves as God commands them to do, and thus un-Lawfully prevented or deterred others from doing so. She has given Royal-Assent to 3,401 Acts of Parliament (as of 24/03/2011) and thus broken The Law against legislating 3,401 times. The very first time she gave "Royal-Assent" to ANY "Act of Parliament", or any other piece of legislation, or allowed Parliament or anyone to legislate, she broke her Coronation Oath and was thus no longer the monarch, with immediate effect, even if she had been Lawfully crowned in the first-place, which she most definitely was not.

Deuteronomy 4:2 **Ye shall not add to the word which I command you, neither shall ye diminish ought from it, that ye may keep the Commandments of the Lord your God which I COMMAND you.**

11:1 Therefore thou shalt love the Lord thy God, and keep His charge, and His Statutes, and His Judgments, and His Commandments, always.

12:8 Ye shall not do after all the things that we do here this day, every man whatsoever is right in his own eyes.

12:32 **What thing soever I command you, observe to do it: thou shalt not add thereto, nor diminish from it.**

A Bill MUST have Royal Assent before it can become an Act of Parliament (law).

<http://www.parliament.uk/about/how/laws/passage-bill/lords/lrds-royal-assent/>

3. Allowing the forming of political parties and demon-crazy (democracy) to divide, weaken, conquer and ruin the people (Deuteronomy 5:32, 17:20; Matthew 12:25).

Deuteronomy 5:32 Ye shall observe to do therefore as the Lord your God hath commanded you: **ye shall not turn aside to the right hand or to the left.**

17:20 That his (the Sovereign's) heart be **NOT** lifted up **ABOVE** his brethren, and **that he turn not aside from the Commandment, [to] the right hand, or [to] the left...**

Matthew 12:25 And Jesus knew their thoughts, and said unto them, **Every kingdom divided against itself is brought to desolation; and every city or house divided against itself shall not stand:**

4. Removal of the death-penalty that is prescribed as the deterrent for capital crimes in The Law that she swore to maintain to the utmost of her power; e.g. Sodomy (Deuteronomy 23:17; Leviticus 20:13); Pedophilia; Rape; Murder; Adultery; etc., thus encouraging these crimes, that are now legion.

Deuteronomy 23:17 There shall be no whore of the daughters of Israel, **nor a sodomite of the sons of Israel.**

Leviticus 20:13 If a man lie also with mankind, as he lieth with a woman, both of them have committed an abomination: **they shall surely be put to death;** their blood shall be upon them.

Etc., etc., etc.

5. Actually encouraging and promoting sodomy, by legalizing it, then further enacting unlawful anti-discrimination legislation, promoting it in schools, and giving knighthoods to high-profile sodomites in the music, film and fashion industries, instead of having them Lawfully executed as a deterrent to others.

Music - Elton John

Film - Ian McKellen of Stonewall; John Gielgud

Fashion – Norman Hartnell knighted 1977 and Hardy Amies knighted 1989.

6. Enriching herself in defiance of God's Law that she swore to uphold, at the expense of her subjects, driving them into debt-slavery (Egypt), poverty and homelessness (Deuteronomy 17:14-20). Including the collecting of graven-images and expensive jewellery (her famous art and Fabergé collections, etc.)

Deuteronomy 17:14 When thou art come unto the land which the Lord thy God giveth thee, and shalt possess it, and shalt dwell therein, and shalt say, I will set a king over me, like as all the nations that are about me;

17:15 Thou shalt in any wise set him king over thee, **WHOM THE LORD THY GOD SHALL CHOOSE (see Psalm 2):** [one] from among thy brethren shalt thou set king over thee: thou mayest not set a stranger over thee, which is not thy brother.

17:16 But he shall not multiply horses to himself, nor cause the people to return to Egypt (**slavery under man-made laws**), to the end that he should multiply **horses**: forasmuch as the Lord hath said unto you, Ye shall henceforth return no more that way.

17:17 Neither shall he multiply wives to himself, that his heart turn not away: neither shall he greatly multiply to himself **silver and gold**.

17:18 And it shall be, when he sitteth upon the throne of his kingdom, that he shall write him a copy of this Law in a book out of that which is before the priests the Levites:

17:19 And it shall be with him, and he shall read therein all the days of his life: that he may learn to fear the Lord his God, to keep all the words of **this Law** and **these Statutes**, to **DO** them:

17:20 That his heart be **NOT** lifted up **ABOVE** his brethren, and that he turn not aside from the Commandment, to the right hand, or to the left...

7. Legalising, facilitating and engaging in usury/interest, that has caused the ruin, bankruptcy and debt-slavery of the entire nation. <http://jahtruth.net/greeneco.htm>

Deuteronomy 23:19 Thou shalt not lend upon usury/interest to thy brother; usury of money, usury of victuals, usury of any thing that is lent upon usury:

8. Ignoring the "Year of Release," where all debts are forgiven/cancelled every seven years, and the "Year of Jubilee" every fifty years, where all property is redistributed back to its owner and the wealth shared out, so that there will be no poor amongst the people.

Deuteronomy 15:1 At the end of every seven years thou shalt make a release.

15:2 And this is the manner of the release: Every creditor that lendeth ought unto his neighbour shall release it; he shall not exact it of his neighbour, or of his brother; because it is called the Lord's release.

15:4 Save when (to the end that) there be no poor among you; ...

Leviticus 25:10 And ye shall hallow the fiftieth year, and proclaim Liberty throughout **all** the land unto **all** the inhabitants thereof: it shall be a Jubilee unto you; and ye shall return every man unto his possession, and ye shall return every man unto his family.

9. Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg has also broken God's Law by allowing the EU, which is not the British people's racial brother, but is a stranger, to rule over you / us, in contravention of Deuteronomy 17:15.

Deuteronomy 17:14 When thou art come unto the land which the Lord thy God giveth thee, and shalt possess it, and shalt dwell therein, and shalt say, I will set a king over me, like as all the nations that [are] about me;

17:15 Thou shalt in any wise set [him] king over thee, whom the Lord thy God shall choose: [one] from among thy brethren shalt thou set king over thee: **thou mayest NOT set a stranger over thee, which [is] not thy brother.**

Deuteronomy 7:2 And when the Lord thy God shall deliver them before thee; thou shalt smite them, [and] utterly destroy them; thou shalt make no covenant with them, nor show mercy unto them:

7:3 Neither shalt thou make marriages with them; thy daughter thou shalt not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son.

7:4 For they will turn away thy son from following Me, that they may serve other gods: so will the anger of the Lord be kindled against you, and destroy thee suddenly.

7:5 But thus shall ye deal with them; ye shall destroy their altars, and break down their images, and cut down their groves, and burn their graven images with fire.

7:6 For thou [art] an holy people unto the Lord thy God: the Lord thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto Himself, above all people that [are] upon the face of the earth.

7:7 The Lord did not set His love upon you, nor choose you, because ye were more in number than any people; for ye [were] the fewest of all people:

7:8 But because the Lord loved you, and because He would keep the Oath which He had sworn unto your fathers, hath the Lord brought you out with a mighty hand, and redeemed you out of the house of bondmen, from the hand of Pharaoh king of Egypt.

7:9 Know therefore that the Lord thy God, He [is] God, the faithful God, which keepeth Covenant and mercy with them that love Him and **keep** His Commandments to a thousand generations;

7:10 And repayeth them that hate (or disobey) Him to their face, to destroy them: He will not be slack to him that hateth (or disobeyeth) Him, He will repay him to his face.

7:11 Thou shalt therefore **KEEP** the Commandments, and the Statutes, and the Judgments, which I command thee this day, to **DO** them.

7:12 Wherefore it shall come to pass, if ye hearken to these Judgments, and keep, and do them, that the Lord thy God shall keep unto thee The Covenant and the mercy which He sware unto thy fathers:

7:13 And He will love thee, and bless thee, and multiply thee: He will also bless the fruit of thy womb, and the fruit of thy land, thy corn, and thy wine, and thine oil, the increase of thy kine, and the flocks of thy sheep, in the land which He sware unto thy fathers to give thee.

7:14 Thou shalt be blessed above all people: there shall not be male or female barren among you, or among your cattle.

7:15 And the Lord will take away from thee all sickness, and will put none of the evil diseases of Egypt, which thou knowest, upon thee; but will lay them upon all [them] that hate thee.

7:16 And thou shalt consume all the people which the Lord thy God shall deliver thee; thine eye shall have no pity upon them: neither shalt thou serve their gods; for that [will be] a snare unto thee.

7:17 If thou shalt say in thine heart, These nations [are] more than I; how can I dispossess them?

7:18 Thou shalt not be afraid of them: [but] shalt well remember what the Lord thy God did unto Pharaoh, and unto all Egypt (*and pharaoh ruled **the whole known world** at that time*);

7:19 The great temptations which thine eyes saw, and the signs, and the wonders, and the mighty hand, and the stretched out arm, whereby the Lord thy God brought thee out: so shall the Lord thy God do unto all the people of whom thou art afraid.

7:20 Moreover the Lord thy God will send the hornet among them, until they that are left, and hide themselves from thee, be destroyed.

7:21 Thou shalt not be affrighted at them: for the Lord thy God [is] among you, a mighty God and terrible.

7:22 And the Lord thy God will put out those nations before thee by little and little: thou mayest not consume them at once, lest the beasts of the field increase upon thee.

7:23 But the Lord thy God shall deliver them unto thee, and shall destroy them with a mighty destruction, until they be destroyed.

7:24 And He shall deliver their kings into thine hand, and thou shalt destroy their name from under heaven: there shall no man be able to stand before thee, until thou have destroyed them.

7:25 The graven images of their gods shall ye burn with fire: thou shalt not desire the silver or gold [that is] on them, nor take [it] unto thee, lest thou be snared therein: for it [is] an abomination to the Lord thy God.

7:26 Neither shalt thou bring an abomination into thine house, lest thou be a cursed thing like it: [but] thou shalt utterly detest it, and thou shalt utterly abhor it; for it [is] a cursed thing.

8:1 All the Commandments which I command thee this day shall ye observe to **do**, that **ye may live**, and multiply, and go in and possess the land which the Lord swore unto your fathers.

8:2 And thou shalt remember all the way which the Lord thy God led thee these forty years in the wilderness, to **humble** thee, [and] to test thee, to know what [was] in thine heart, **whether thou wouldest keep His Commandments (Law), or not.**

God warned His people, YOU, the British-Israel people (<http://jahtruth.net/britca.htm>), in the Revelation/Apocalypse to John, to come out of the Mother of Harlots', abominable (Rev. 17:5) Babylonian (<http://jahtruth.net/robab.htm>) Market System:-

Revelation/Apocalypse 18:4 And I heard another voice from heaven, saying, COME OUT of her, MY people, that ye take not part in her sins, and that ye receive not of her plagues (punishment).

10. She has allowed Witchcraft and condoned it - <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1284449/100-UK-servicemen-class-pagans-MoD-reveals.html> - and Satanism - <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/uk/3948329.stm> - in her/the realm and in her/the armed forces.

Exodus 22:18 Thou shalt not suffer a witch to live.

Deuteronomy 18:9 When thou art come into the land which the Lord thy God giveth thee, thou shalt not learn to do after the abominations of those nations.

18:10 There shall not be found among you [any one] that maketh his son or his daughter to pass through the fire, [or] that useth divination, [or] an observer of times, or an enchanter, or a WITCH,

18:11 Or a charmer, or a consulter with familiar spirits, or a WIZARD, or a necromancer (medium).

18:12 For all that do these things [are] an abomination unto the Lord: and because of these abominations the Lord thy God doth drive them out from before thee.

18:13 Thou shalt be perfect with the Lord thy God (Matt. 5:48).

Matthew 5:48 **Be ye therefore perfect, even as your Father which is in heaven IS perfect.**

Deuteronomy 32:15 But the Beloved waxed fat, and rebelled: thou art waxen **fat**, thou art grown **thick**, thou art covered [with **fatness**]; then he forsook God [which] made him, and lightly esteemed the Rock of his salvation.

32:16 They provoked Him to jealousy with strange [gods], with abominations provoked they Him to anger.

32:17 They sacrificed unto devils, not to God; to gods whom they knew not, to new [gods that] came newly up, whom your fathers feared not.

Revelation/Apocalypse 21:7 He that overcometh shall inherit all things; and I will be his God, and he shall be my (adopted) son.

21:8 But the fearful, and unbelieving, and the abominable, and murderers, and whoremongers, and SORCERERS, and idolaters, and ALL LIARS, shall have their part in the lake which burneth with Fire and brimstone: which is the second death.

She has given an O.B.E. to Joanne "Jo" Rowling (J. K. Rowling), who promotes witchcraft, thus herself condoning the promotion of witchcraft, and the poisoning of the minds of the nation and its children.

The other and major part of witchcraft/sorcery, that she has also allowed, and probably actually invested in, is the chemical and pharmaceutical industry that is slowly poisoning the nation through chemical-fertilizers, pesticides, chemtrails, vaccines, etc., and other pharmaceutical products/medicines/poisons (witches' brews / potions) in order to maximize their profits, because they do not make any money from healthy people. That is why there

are more sick people every year and a correspondingly higher NHS budget, rather than less sick people and a correspondingly shrinking NHS budget. The NHS, doctors and pharmacists are therefore obviously harming the population, not healing it.

<http://www.rense.com/general34/quotes.htm> <http://jahtruth.net/heal.htm>

Note well that it states in Revelation/Apocalypse 21:8 “ALL LIARS shall have their part in the lake which burneth with Fire and Brimstone . . .” and the word **Parliament** means “**Speaking Lies**” from the French words *Parler* which means to speak, and *mentir* which means to tell lies. Also the word **Politics**, *poly* meaning many; *tics* are blood-sucking parasites; thus politics means many blood-sucking parasites.

11. Each and every single one of the above crimes carries the death-penalty, with public execution; under The Law that she swore to maintain to the utmost of her power; for not doing so, along with all those who likewise reject The Law of God — Deuteronomy 17:8-13, 27:26; Malachi chapter 4.

Deuteronomy 17:8 If there arise a matter too hard for thee in Judgment, between blood and blood, between plea and plea, and between stroke and stroke, being matters of controversy within thy gates: then shalt thou arise, and get thee up into the place which the Lord thy God shall choose;

17:9 And thou shalt come unto the priests the Levites, and unto the judge that shall be in those days, and enquire; and they shall show thee The Sentence of Judgment:

17:10 And thou shalt do according to The Sentence, which they of that place which the Lord shall choose shall show thee; and thou shalt observe to do according to all that they inform thee:

17:11 According to The Sentence of The Law which they shall teach thee, and according to the Judgment which they shall tell thee, thou shalt do: thou shalt not decline to do The Sentence which they shall show thee, and turn not away from it to the right hand, nor to the left.

17:12 **And the man that will do presumptuously, and will not hearken unto the priest that standeth to minister there before the Lord thy God, or unto the judge, even that man shall die: and thus thou shalt put away the evil from Israel.**

17:13 And all the people shall hear, and fear, and do no more presumptuously (*in thinking they are a law unto themselves*).

27:26 Cursed be he (like Elizabeth) that confirmeth not all the words of this Law to **DO** them.

Matthew 5:17 **Think not that I am come to destroy The Law, or the Prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfill** (in the Greek Original – pleroo = to fully preach it).

5:18 **For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no way pass from The Law, till ALL (the Prophecies) be fulfilled.**

5:19 **Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least Commandments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the Kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach them, the same shall be called great in the Kingdom of heaven.**

5:20 **For I say unto you, That except your righteousness shall exceed the righteousness**

of the scribes (lawyers) and Pharisees (politicians), ye shall in no case enter into the Kingdom of heaven.

James 2:10 He who breaks the least of these Commandments and teaches others to do so is guilty of all.

The renowned English jurist Sir William Blackstone famously stated, “No enactment of man can be considered law unless it conforms to the law of God.”

All of The Law references quoted are copied from the Sovereign’s Bible (Exhibit 2) upon which Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg’s Coronation Oath (Exhibit 1) was sworn (all emphasis mine), containing God’s Law that she swore to maintain to the utmost of her power. It is a special large print and specially bound edition of the king James Authorised Version (1611) of the Holy Bible, that she placed her right hand upon, swore the Coronation Oath upon and then kissed, before she signed the Coronation Oath (Exhibit 1).

12. Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg is therefore not only massively in breach of contract, but also massively in breach of The Law, and thus is not only **NOT** the Lawful Sovereign, never has been, and thus has **NO** jurisdiction to prosecute me, but is also a criminal, guilty of capital crimes, that carry the death-penalty, according to The Law she swore to maintain to the utmost of her power. That Perfect Royal Law of Liberty was given by God to the British-Israel peoples to protect the British-Israel peoples from exploitation, oppression, poverty and harm, and which God has warned the British-Israel peoples to return to, with dire consequences for failure to do so. Her obscene wealth and that of her relatives, cronies and accomplices must be seized and shared out amongst the poor and homeless.

Malachi 4:1 For, behold, the Day cometh, that shall burn like an oven; and **all** the proud, yea, and **all** that do wickedly, shall be stubble: and the day that cometh shall burn them up, saith the Lord of hosts, that it shall leave of them neither **root** nor **branch** (nothing).

4:2 But unto you that fear My name shall the Sun of Righteousness arise with healing in his wings; and ye shall go forth, and grow up as calves of the stall.

4:3 And ye shall tread down the wicked; for they shall be **ashes** under the soles of your feet in The Day that I shall do [this], saith the Lord of hosts.

4:4 Remember ye (and return to) The Law of Moses My servant, which I commanded unto him in Horeb for **all** Israel, [with] the Statutes and Judgments.

4:5 Behold, I will send you Elijah the Prophet before the coming of the great and dreadful Day of the Lord:

4:6 And he shall turn the heart of the fathers to the children, and the heart of the children to their fathers, lest I come and smite the earth with a curse (see verse 1).

13. The person who purports to be queen was, in fact, as proven above, never rightfully nor Lawfully the Sovereign/Crown. **Therefore the Crown/Prosecution/Regina has NO authority to put the defendant on trial and the judge has NO authority to try him, because the judge’s authority comes from her.**

14. In addition, without prejudice to the above, based on God's Law that she swore to maintain to the utmost of her power (Exhibit 1) the "queen" is in breach of contract. She has amongst other things accumulated a large amount of personal wealth and done many other things that are expressly forbidden, some of which are listed above, and so she has breached her contract with God and the British-Israel people. Therefore, even if, which is not admitted, the "queen" was genuinely crowned, the breach of contract disqualifies her from sitting and renders null and void proceedings instituted in her name.
15. In addition and without prejudice to the above, ex-Prime Minister Tony Blair was found guilty of War Crimes, on 22nd of November 2011, by the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Commission (KLWCC), in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. However, he has no authority to send British troops into combat. That authority lies solely with Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg (also known by the criminal aliases Windsor and QE2), thus she is also condemned and guilty of being a War Criminal. Please see the attached judgement. There is no sovereign immunity from War Crimes and Crimes Against Humanity, as was proven in the case of Saddam Hussein. A convicted criminal cannot bring any charges against anyone. See Exhibits 3 & 4.
16. In addition and without prejudice to the above, Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg is a convicted criminal who has been found guilty of Crimes against Humanity, by the International Common Law Court of Justice, sitting in Brussels, and sentenced on February 25, 2013 to 25 years in prison. Please see the attached arrest-warrant. This court must therefore have her arrested and incarcerated, as soon as possible. As a convicted criminal she cannot bring any charges against me. See Exhibit 5.

It is therefore of the utmost importance that Elizabeth Alexandra Mary Battenberg and the Sovereign's Bible, that is kept in Lambeth Palace* (See Exhibit 2), be arrested and present in court for my challenge to her jurisdiction and sovereignty to be heard before a jury, and for me to face my false-accuser, examine her and have her removed from the throne and imprisoned.

* Class-Mark Ref. No.: E185 1953 [**]

Signed: _____



Date: _____

21/12/2015 .

Document with Exhibits sourced from: Jforjustice.net

Elizabeth R

I solemnly promise and swear to govern the Peoples of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and the Union of South Africa, Pakistan and Ceylon, and of my Possessions and the other Territories to any of them belonging or pertaining, according to their respective laws and customs.

I will to my power cause Law and Justice, in Mercy, to be executed in all my judgements.

I will to the utmost of my power maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the Gospel. I will to the utmost of my power maintain in the United Kingdom the Protestant Reformed Religion established by law. And I will maintain and preserve inviolably the settlement of the Church of England, and the doctrine, worship, discipline, and government thereof, as by law established in England. And I will preserve unto the Bishops and Clergy of England, and to the Churches there committed to their charge, all such rights and privileges as by law do or shall appertain to them or any of them.

The things which I have here before promised, I will perform and keep.

So help me God.



THE ROYAL COLLECTION

Royal Palaces, Residences and Art Collection

Search the site



[Home](#)

[The Royal Collection](#)

[e-Gallery](#)

[Exhibitions](#)

[Learning](#)

[Visit](#)

[Tickets](#)

[Shop](#)



Search e-Gallery




The Holy Bible, 1953

1953

Oxford: University Press

[Presented by Oxford University Press
to HM The Queen](#)

[About this work of art](#)



The Royal Collection © 2011,
Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II
RCIN 1080362

The Coronation Bible that was used
is kept in Lambeth Palace Library:

Class-Mark Ref. No.: E185 1953 [**]

You will need a "Witness Summons"
application for it to be brought to court.

[Overview](#)

[Magnify image](#)

[About this work of art](#)

[Scrapbook](#)

Object categories:

[Books, Bindings and
Manuscripts](#)

[Picture Library](#)

[Search the Picture
Library](#)

[Reproduce this Image](#)

[Review/Send Order](#)

Web-link:

<http://www.royalcollection.org.uk/eGallery/object.asp?searchText=coronation+bible&x=5&y=5&object=1080362&row=1>

**CHIEF PROSECUTOR OF THE KUALA LUMPUR WAR CRIMES COMMISSION v.
GEORGE W BUSH & ANTHONY L. BLAIR**

CRIMINAL PROCEEDING NO. 1-CP-2011

Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Tribunal, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Corum: Judge Abdul Kadar Sulaiman, Judge Salleh Buang, Judge Tunku Sofiah Jewa, Judge Alfred L. Webre, Judge Shad Saleem Faruqi.

Prosecution: Prof Gurdial Singh Nijar, Prof Francis A. Boyle, Avtaran Singh, Usha Kulasegaran, Gan Pei Fern.

Amici Curiae: Jason Kay Kit Leong, Sook Kok Weng, Pan Shan Ping, Mohd Zharif Shafiq, Zyzan Syaidi, Muhammad Khirul.

Registrar: Musa Ismail.

22 November 2011.

UNANIMOUS VIEWS AND FINDINGS

The two accused, George W Bush and Anthony L. Blair, at the material times the Heads of Government of the United States of America and the United Kingdom respectively, have been charged by the Chief Prosecutor of the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Commission with having committed CRIMES AGAINST PEACE, in that they have planned, prepared and invaded the sovereign state of Iraq on 19 March 2003 in violation of the United Nations Charter and international law. The Particulars of the Charge state, inter alia, that on 19 March 2003, the two accused launched a war against Iraq without the sanction of the United Nations and without just cause whatsoever.

The two accused were not present at the proceedings though duly served. Nor were any attorneys or counsel present in their behalf. Pursuant to Article 15 of the Charter of the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Commission & the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Tribunal (hereinafter referred to as “the Charter”), an Amicus Curiae was appointed by the Tribunal to assist the Tribunal by presenting an unbiased assessment of the charge and evidence against the accused.

The Amicus Curiae entered a plea of not guilty on behalf of both the accused.

1. Recusal of Judges

At the commencement of the proceedings, the Tribunal had a full bench of 7 Judges. However, Judge Prof Niloufer Bhagwat and Judge Dato’ Dr Zakaria Yatim later recused themselves, and the Tribunal proceeded to hear the case with a quorum of 5 Judges.

2. Preliminary Objection on Jurisdiction

Amicus Curiae Jason Kay Kit Leong raised a preliminary objection that the Tribunal has no jurisdiction to hear the case. After listening to arguments by the Chief Prosecutor and the Amicus Curiae, the Tribunal ruled that it has jurisdiction and the proceedings then continued.

Under Article 7 of Part I of the Charter, the Tribunal shall have jurisdiction not only in respect of crimes against peace, but also in respect of crimes against humanity, crime of genocide and war crimes.

3. Facts

It is the undisputed facts of the case that the first accused had contemplated invading Iraq as far back as 15 September 2001 and had confided in the second accused of this intention. In 2002, the two accused, without the sanction of the United Nations Security Council, had directed air strikes against Iraq in order to degrade Iraq's air defences, in preparation for its invasion in 2003. A memorandum of the UK cabinet dated July 23, 2002 (known as the "Downing Street Memo") had recorded a meeting between the second accused and his intelligence officials.

On November 8, 2002, the United Nations Security Council passed Resolution 1441. The text of this Resolution clearly does not authorise the use of military action to compel its compliance. Both the accused would have been fully aware of the limitations of this Resolution.

The second accused had admitted whilst giving his testimony at the Chilcot Inquiry on 14 January 2011 that his Attorney General, Peter Goldsmith, had advised that a second Security Council Resolution is necessary under international law to authorise the use of military force against Iraq.

It is also an established fact that Iraq did not possess any weapons of mass destruction (WMD). The two accused had over the years since the Iraq war admitted that they knew or believed the intelligence reports on Iraq's WMD to be unreliable. Yet both accused proceeded to wage war on Iraq based on a false and contrived basis.

More than 1.4 million Iraqis have been killed (and continue to die) as a direct and indirect consequence of the war waged by both accused against Iraq.

4. THE INTERNATIONAL LAW OF WAR –

4.1 General Prohibition Against Force

The Charter of the United Nations contains a general prohibition against force as a means of resolving disputes. The Charter insists that war can only be a last resort and that the decision to unleash the horrors of war on innocent populations can only be taken according to the duly established law itself. The Security Council and the General Assembly have consistently affirmed this principle.

4.2 Where in Exceptional Circumstances Force is Allowed

Under the Charter as well as customary international law, there are some exceptions that make the use of force lawful.

First, legitimate self-defense under Article 51 of the Charter.

Second, specific Security Council authorization of force as a last resort to maintain peace and security under Chapter VII of the Charter.

Third, the Defence assertion that in customary international law there is a principle of pre-emptive or anticipatory self-defense when a threat of attack is imminent.

Fourth, the Defence assertion that there is a principle of humanitarian intervention or a Right to Protect”.

5. WAS THERE A PRIMA FACIE CASE?

At the close of the case for the prosecution, we listened to submissions by both sides. The Tribunal came to the unanimous conclusion that a prima facie case exists. Defence was, therefore, called.

6. THE CASE FOR THE DEFENCE

6.1. Nicaragua case –

The amicus curiae Jason Kay Kit Leon states that the prosecution has submitted two contradicting points on humanitarian catastrophe. The defence states, “The rule of natural justice requires the accused to know the charges against him clearly, to understand the nature of the charges against him, so that he has a chance to defend himself.”

Yet, the defence in objecting to the prosecution’s submission of the Nicaragua case has made a moot point. Both of prosecution counsels’ interpretations of the Nicaragua case would prohibit Bush and Blair’s orders to wage aggressive war and invade Iraq.

The Nicaragua case, by the interpretation of prosecution lead counsel Gurdial Singh Nijar, prohibits the invasion of Iraq by Bush and Blair because that invasion was not in furtherance of “preventing an overwhelming humanitarian catastrophe for which Saddam could be held responsible.” No such catastrophe had been established in Iraq through well documented evidence. There were many other means – including a second Resolution at the United Nations – available to prevent the use of force. The measures taken by Bush and Blair’s aggressive war against Iraq were disproportionate.

The Nicaragua case, by the interpretation of prosecution co-counsel Prof. Frances Boyle, places an absolute bar upon any intervention by force for humanitarian reasons.

6.2. Responsibility to Protect

Similarly defence argues that responsibility to protect is a doctrine that justifies an intervention by force on humanitarian grounds, and that the doctrine of responsibility to protect provides a legal rationale for the aggressive war by Bush and Blair against Iraq. Defence cites the 1999 NATO intervention in Serbia as precedent. Yet as prosecution co-counsel Frances Boyle noted, U.S. President Bill Clinton had no authority from the U.S. Congress to invade Serbia and the UN resolutions cited by the defence were after the fact of the illegal invasion by way of an attempt by the UN to control a U.S. President. The 1999 invasion of Serbia was illegal under the Nicaragua case as was the 2003 invasion of Iraq by the two accused.

6.3. Use of U.S. government documents and statements of the accused

In arguing that the situation in Iraq justified Humanitarian intervention, the defence has submitted official documents predominately from one agency of the U.S. government, the U.S. Agency for International Development. These documents are biased presentations and unreliable, as they are prepared subsequent to the invasion for purposes of justifying the invasion of Iraq. Moreover, as the prosecution demonstrated, the director of USAID himself admitted his agency was filled with U.S. under-cover intelligence agents and propagandists.

6.4. 9/11 & the invasion of Iraq

The defence has interjected the events of September 11, 2001 into these proceedings in a number of ways.

A. 9/11 & the invasion of Iraq –The defence has introduced no evidence that establishes a planning or operational connection between Saddam Hussein and 9/11 event. The prosecution established that Bush may have used 9/11 as a pretext for the invasion of Iraq. 9/11 & the Project for A New American Century – The prosecution introduced evidence demonstrating that key principals in the cabinet of the first accused Bush were planning an invasion of Iraq as early as February, 1998 under the umbrella of the Project for a New American Century which at the same time was preparing public opinion for “a new Pearl Harbor”, an event that materialized on 9/11.

B. 9/11 “Grotian moment” – The defence cites authority contending that “September 11 attacks on the United States demonstrate a change in the nature of the threats confronting the international community, thereby paving the way for rapid development of new rules of customary international law” that would presumably authorize the invasion of Iraq.

Yet it is still unsettled, what the events of September 11, 2001 are all about.

6.5 Saddam Hussein & acts of 1988-1991

The defence introduced evidence of Saddam Hussein's ethnic cleansing and chemical weapons use against the Kurds and the Anfal campaign in 1988, as well as the killing of Shiites and Marsh Arabs in 1991. Yet the defence failed to explain why U.S. President Ronald Reagan and George HW Bush (Senior) through agent Donald H. Rumsfeld sold Iraq chemical weapons and permitted their use and why President George HW Bush (Senior) incited the Marsh Arabs to revolt in 1991 only to abandon them knowing they would face Iraqi government reprisals.

6.6. United States Joint Forces Command

The defence introduced a document prepared by the Joint Center for Operation Analyses under official contract with the U.S. Department of Defense as justification for relationships between Saddam Hussein and international terrorism. The prosecution established the bias of this document as that produced by the invading party after the invasion.

6.7. Anticipatory self-defence

The defence raised the doctrine of anticipatory self-defence under Article 51 of the UN Charter as a justification for the invasion of Iraq by the two accused Bush and Blair. The prosecution noted that the clause "if an armed attack occurs" in Article 51 precludes its application to the case of Iraq. The prosecution also noted that the 1981 attack by Israel on Iraq, cited by counsel for the defence as a justification for the invasion of Iraq by the accused, was condemned by the UN Security Council and had been ordered by Israeli Prime Minister Begin to improve his standing in the election polls in Israel in 1981.

6.8. Memoirs of the accused Bush and Blair

Both the defence and the prosecution introduced relevant segments of the Memoirs of the accused Bush and Blair as evidence in this case.

7. TRIBUNAL'S FINDINGS ON FACTS AND LAW

7.1 Right of Self-Defense Under the UN Charter

Article 51 of the UN Charter permits member states to defend their sovereignty and to exercise the "inherent right of individual or collective self-defense if an armed attack occurs". However, the unilateral use of retaliatory force is subject to a number of limitations.

First, the right persists only till "the Security Council has taken measures necessary to maintain peace and security". Once the Council formally determines that there exists a threat to international peace and security, individual states may no longer exercise the right of self-defense without the Council's express prior approval.

Sometime after the Allied invasion, the Security Council deliberated on the Iraq war. It did not expressly validate the invasion. Yet we all know that the military occupation of Iraq by the Allies continues till today.

Second, Article 51 applies only in the event of an *actual* armed attack. Iraq had not attacked the USA or the UK. In fact, since 1991, it had not attacked any country whatsoever.

Despite Defence submissions, there is no credible evidence that Iraq had any connections with September 11, 2001 or with Al-Qaeda. Nor is there any evidence of Iraqi preparation to invade or attack or threaten any nation.

If by some stretch of imagination, there was such a threat, it was not imminent and it was entirely avoidable. The argument about self-defense is, therefore, not credible.

Third, the International Court of Justice has affirmed in the *Nuclear Weapons Case* that lawful defense must be both “proportional to the armed attack and necessary to respond to it”. [*Nicaragua*, ICJ Reports (1986) at 14, 94 and 103; *Legality of the Threat Or Use of Nuclear Weapons*, ICJ Reports (1996) at para. 41].

As there was no armed attack from Iraq, there was, therefore, no justification for the US or UK to invoke the Article 51 doctrine of self-defense to attack, invade and conquer Iraq. The justification, if any, must lie in the Defence Counsel’s disputed doctrine of anticipatory or preemptive self-defense under customary international law which we shall deal with below.

7.2 Security Council Authorization

Except for the narrow exception of unilateral self-defense under Article 51, the Security Council of the United Nations is the only authority empowered by Chapter VII, Articles 39 to 42 to use force by air, sea or land against a nation that is guilty of a “threat to the peace, breach of the peace, or act of aggression”. This exceptional power is subject to a number of limitations.

First, military action is permitted for maintaining or restoring international peace and security. *However, on the basis of the Nicaragua decision, regime change is not a valid international law objective.* We are of the firm view that the exceptional powers of Chapter VII cannot be employed to declare war and resort to military action against a sovereign nation solely for the purpose of “regime change” or the removal of a dictatorial or unelected leader, no matter how unlikable he may be.

Second, military action under Article 42 must be resorted to as a matter of last resort. The Council must first attempt peaceful measures like sanctions under Article 41 of the Charter. Article 41 authorises “complete or partial interruption of economic relations and of rail, sea, air, postal, telegraphic, radio, and other means of communication, and the severance of diplomatic relations”.

Force can be authorized only after the Council determines that peaceful measures “would be inadequate or have proved to be inadequate” (Article 42). The Council has an obligation to exhaust all peaceful avenues before authorizing war (Article 39).

Except for the USA and the UK, the other permanent members of the Security Council were of the view that UN inspections were working and that Iraq was complying with the order to disarm. On the orders of the UN inspectors the Saddam regime had destroyed some proscribed weapons. Hans Blix, chief United Nations weapons inspector requested four months to complete his job and the majority of the members of the Council seemed agreeable to granting this time. But the US and the UK were not supportive of any extension of time. They lobbied hard to obtain a new Council Resolution to authorize immediate military operations against Iraq. The US forged documents to accuse Iraq of trying to purchase raw materials for WMD on the international market. The UK, on its part, lacking any substantial evidence against Iraq, plagiarized from a student thesis and tried to pass off an out-of-date student essay as an authoritative intelligence report!

US and UK attempts to force a new resolution ultimately failed. France, Germany, Russia and China wished to give to the inspectors the time they requested to complete their inspections.

Having failed to push a resolution through the Security Council, the US and the UK changed their tune and argued that no new resolution was needed to authorize military strikes as earlier resolutions were sufficient to allow any Council member to unilaterally use force in the event that Iraq was in material breach of its obligations.

This is the “revival argument” put forward by the Defence. Examination of some of the UNSC resolutions on Iraq between 2 August 1990 and 8 November 2002 will show that the US-UK argument of unilateral authority to invade Iraq suffers from several fatal flaws.

7.3 Pre-emptive or Anticipatory Self-defense in Customary International Law

The UN Charter nowhere permits the declaration of war on a perceived threat of imminent attack. Some scholars argue that the Charter intended to abolish the pre-Charter customary right of pre-emptive self-defense. Despite this doubt it does appear that under customary international law the doctrine of pre-emptive self-defense does exist. “According to the seminal *Caroline case* the legitimate exercise of this right requires “a necessity of self-defense, instant, overwhelming, leaving no choice of means, and no moment for deliberation”.

The idea that the security of US & UK was threatened by Iraq’s alleged possession of weapons of mass destruction (WMD) was preposterous and is even more so today. Despite years of extremely intrusive intelligence gathering from the air and more than 550 inspections on the ground by UN inspectors in the last four months before the invasion, no credible evidence of WMD had surfaced. Some US documents alleging Iraq’s attempt to purchase proscribed weapons turned out to be crude forgeries. The UN Inspectors found no such weapons nor any long-range delivery system.

In these circumstances, the assertion by the Defence of a right to engage in unilateral and “pre-emptive attacks” on Iraq was a blatant violation of international law. Their argument sought to give to powerful states the right to use military force against other states that are seen as hostile or that make moves to acquire weapons of mass destruction whether nuclear, biological or chemical.

It is our view that the doctrine of pre-emptive strikes “is a doctrine without limits, without accountability to the UN or international law, without any dependence on a collective judgment of responsible governments and, what is worse, without any convincing demonstration of practical necessity”. It repudiates the core idea of the UN Charter that prohibits the use of force except for self-defense or pursuant to a decision of the Security Council.

7.4 Humanitarian Intervention or the Right to Protect Victims of Human Rights Abuses

The Defence gave convincing evidence of serious human rights violations by Saddam Hussain. However, they adroitly avoided admitting that both the US and UK were complicit in most of these offence.

In the light of Saddam’s brutal record, the Defence argued that the international community has the right and the duty to use military force for humanitarian purposes and for redressing gross abuses of human rights. As there was credible evidence that the unelected Saddam regime was guilty of serious human rights breaches, it was argued that military force could be used to bring about a regime change in Iraq.

We acknowledge that international law is not static. Eloquent arguments by the Defence of “Grotiun moments” in international law are taken note of. However, growth and change have to be within the four corners of the UN Charter and not outside it.

The danger of the ‘humanitarian intervention’ argument is that it enables member states to circumvent well-established principles and procedures of the UN Charter on use of legitimate force. Decision-making on issues of peace and war is unlawfully transferred from multilateral UN mechanisms to individual states. Relying on this argument member states may transgress legal limits on use of this exceptional power and not be accountable to anyone. There is no safeguard to prevent states from manipulating this argument to serve narrow political or strategic interests.

It must also be remembered that the UN is already empowered, under Chapter VII, to respond with force if necessary to uphold the UN’s fundamental purposes, which, in Article 1 include “encouraging respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms”.

We hold that when a country takes it upon itself to displace by force of arms a government or administration that it disapproves of, this is naked aggression and an international crime. Despite some scholarly dispute which we recognize, we hold that the principle of humanitarian intervention has dubious basis. International vigilantism has no legal validity. Even if it did, it

should be applied subject to the preconditions outlined by the Prosecution. None of the conditions were satisfied in this tragic situation.

7.5 Possession of WMD

An attack on Iraq because of its alleged possession of weapons of mass destruction (WMD) had no legitimacy in international law. First of all, claims regarding Iraq's pursuit or actual possession of weapons of mass destruction (WMD) were always highly suspect.

Secondly, enforcement of UN resolutions against Iraq's alleged possession of WMD should have been undertaken in accordance with international law and not in blatant disregard of it.

Thirdly, the US lacked clean hands on the issue of Iraq's possession of WMD because along with Britain and 150 or so Western companies (listed in Iraq's Report to the UN Inspectors), the US facilitated Iraq's acquisition and use of WMD in the 1980s

7.6 Was there pre-planning and preparation to mount the military operation?

The Prosecution has given us convincing evidence that the drums of war were being beaten long before the invasion. Facts were fixed to support the policy.

Regrettably the Defence rebuttal was based on highly dubious US Government or US Military evidence that is not credible. US laws or Congressional Resolutions are also not acceptable as the US, with all its might has no right to change international law.

Further many statements in the books authored by the two accused implicate them in the diabolical plan. The memoirs of the two accused do not provide justification for the war of aggression against Iraq.

8. VERDICT

“The essence of legality is the principled, predictable, and consistent application of a single standard for the strong and the weak alike. Selective manipulation of international law by powerful states undermines its legitimacy.”

The 2003 invasion of Iraq was an unlawful act of aggression and an international crime. It “cannot be justified under any reasonable interpretation of international law”. It violates “the outer limits of laws regulating the use of force”. It amounts to mass murder. Unlawful use of force in Iraq “threatens to return us to a world in which the law of the jungle prevails over the rule of law, with potentially disastrous consequences for the human rights not only of the Iraqis but of people throughout the region and the world”.

The future of the UN and of the international law of war is also at stake. The unauthorized military action in Iraq undermines the system of collective security embedded in the UN Charter in order to protect humanity from a recurrence of the carnage of World War II.

The two accused took the law into their own hands. They acted with deceit and with falsehood. They acted in flagrant violation of international law of war and peace. In the absence of any convincing evidence, defence assertions lack credibility. They appear to be fig leaves for hiding naked economic and political ambitions.

We therefore find that the charge against the two accused is proved beyond reasonable doubt. The two accused are, therefore, found guilty as charged and the two accused are accordingly convicted on the charge.

9. ORDERS

1. The Tribunal in accordance with Article 31 of our Charter, recommends to the Commission to file reports with the International Criminal Court against the two accused.
2. The Tribunal in accordance with Article 32 recommends to the Commission that the name of the two convicted criminals be included in the Commission's Register of War Criminals and publicized accordingly.

10. RECOMMENDATIONS






First, the Commission must invoke the Nuremberg law to report Bush, Blair and their accomplices for crimes against peace, war crimes and crimes against humanity under Part VI of the Charter of the Nuremberg Tribunal.

Second, the Commission must file reports of genocide and crimes against humanity with the International Criminal Court (ICC).

Third, the General Assembly of the United Nations must be approached to pass a resolution to end the American occupation of Iraq.

Fourth, the findings of this Tribunal must be communicated to all countries that have acceded to the Rome Statute and are possessed of universal jurisdiction.

Fifth, the UN Security Council must reassert itself and ensure that true sovereignty is transferred to the Iraqi people as soon as possible with the assistance of a UN Peacekeeping Force. The autonomy of the newly installed Iraqi government must be ensured.


President- Judge Abdul Kadar Sulaiman
Judge Salleh Buang,
Judge Tunku Sofiah Jewa,
Judge Alfred L. Webre
Judge Shad Saleem Faruqi

Dated: Twenty second day of November 2011 at Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.



Wednesday Nov 23, 2011 **01:41 PM GMT**

Bush, Blair found guilty of war crimes
Tue Nov 22, 2011 6:51PM

Mahi Ramakrishnan, Press TV, Kuala Lumpur

A Malaysian tribunal has found former US President George W Bush and former British Prime Minister Tony Blair guilty of committing crimes against humanity during the Iraq war, **Press TV** reported.

The Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Tribunal found the former heads of state guilty after a four-day hearing. A seven-member panel chaired by former Malaysian Federal Court judge Abdul Kadir Sulaiman presided over the trial.

The five panel tribunal unanimously decided that the former US and British leaders had committed crimes against peace and humanity, and also violated international law when they ordered the invasion of Iraq in March 2003.

The prosecutors at the hearing ruled that the invasion of Iraq was a flagrant abuse of law, and act of aggression which amounted to a mass murder of the Iraqi people.

“Bush and Blair are found guilty under the same law that applied to the Nazis after the end of the World War II. So, they are international (war) criminals guilty of Nuremberg crimes against peace; and they should be prosecuted by any state in the world that gets a hold of them. We will continue our efforts to bring Bush and Blair to justice and put them in jail,” Francis Boyle, an international law expert and prosecutor, told Press TV.

The judges in the verdict said that that the United States, under the leadership of Bush, forged documents to claim that Iraq had weapons of mass destruction.

Bush and Blair were tried in absentia by the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Tribunal at the end of the hearing. The participants also demanded that the findings of the

tribunal be made available to members of the Rome Statute and that the names of the two former officials be entered in the register of war criminals.

“There is also a recommendation that this (the findings) be circulated to the states because all states have universal jurisdiction. Therefore, whenever Bush or Blair appear within their shores there is an obligation on the international law to commit these international war criminals through the justice system,” Gurdial Singh Nijar, a prosecutor, told Press TV.

Lawyers and human rights activists in Malaysia have described the verdict issued by the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Tribunal against Bush and Blair as “a landmark decision.”

They say that they would lobby the International Criminal Court to charge the pair for war crimes.

The Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Tribunal is scheduled to hold a separate hearing next year on charges of torture linked to the Iraq war against former US officials including ex-Vice President Dick Cheney, former Secretary of State Donald Rumsfeld and ex-Attorney General Alberto Gonzales.

The International Common Law Court of Justice

A Lawful Judicial Body constituted under Common Law and The Law of Nations



International Citizens Arrest Warrant

In the Matter of Genocide in Canada: Case Docket No. 22513-001

A Warrant for their Immediate Arrest is hereby issued to the Person(s) named herein, having been duly tried, convicted and sentenced of deliberate Criminal Acts by this Court, and properly notified.



Name of Issuing Magistrate or Court Officer

MARCH 5, 2013
Date Issued



This Warrant is valid for a period not exceeding one year, and is effective until March 5, 2014

To: The Common Law Peace Officers and other Agents of this Court

You are hereby commanded and authorized to arrest _____
and the other persons named in this Warrant, and bring him/her/them forthwith to the
nearest Public Reclamation Facility to serve the sentence pronounced by this Court on
February 25, 2013.

These persons are guilty of Crimes against Humanity and active involvement in an
ongoing Criminal Conspiracy, under the statutes of the International Criminal Court and
the United Nations Convention on the Crime of Genocide, and according to Natural
Law.

A complete list of the persons duly convicted and sentenced by this Court, who are
subject to immediate arrest under the power of this Warrant, is found in the attached
document entitled Appendix One.



Certified by G. Dufort, Clerk of the Court



Entered and Registered Tuesday, March 5, 2013 - ICLCJ Case 22513-001 (Brussels)

Appendix One: List of Persons Duly Convicted and Sentenced in ICLCJ Case No. 22513-001 (Genocide in Canada), and Subject to Immediate Arrest under the Power of this Warrant

Joseph Ratzinger , Adolfo Nicholas Pachon, Tarcisio Bertone, Angelo Sodano, Angelo Bagnasco, Pedro Lopez Quintana, Elizabeth Windsor, Rowan Williams, Fred Hiltz, Bob Bennett, Stephen Harper, Murray Sinclair, John Milloy, Nora Sanders, Gary Paterson, Jon Jessiman, Brian Thorpe, Art Anderson, Foster Freed, Bill Howie, Phil Spencer, John Cashore, Robert Paulson, Peter Montague, Daniel Fulton, Ron Huinink, Terry Whyte, Sean Atleo and William Montour.

Joseph Ratzinger - Former Pontiff of the Church of Rome

Adolfo Nicholas Pachon - Superior General of the Jesuit Order, Rome

Tarcisicio Bertone - Secretary of State, Vatican City

Angelo Sodano - College of Cardinals, Vatican City

Angelo Bagnasco - College of Cardinals, Vatican City

Pedro Lopez Quintana - Papal Nuncio to Canada, Ottawa

Elizabeth Windsor - alias Queen of England, London

Rowan Williams - former Archbishop of Canterbury, London

Fred Hiltz - Primate of the Anglican Church in Canada, Toronto

Bob Bennett - Bishop of Huron Diocese, Anglican Church, London, Ontario

Stephen Harper - Prime Minister of Canada, Ottawa

Murray Sinclair - Chairman, Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC), Ottawa

John Milloy - TRC officer, Peterborough, Ontario

Nora Sanders - General Secretary, United Church of Canada, Etobicoke, Ontario

Gary Paterson - Moderator, United Church of Canada, Etobicoke, Ontario

Jon Jessiman - Legal Counsel, United Church of Canada, Etobicoke, Ontario

Marion Best - Former Moderator, United Church of Canada, Etobicoke, Ontario

Brian Thorpe - United Church of Canada officer, Etobicoke, Ontario

Art Anderson - retired United Church of Canada officer, British Columbia

Foster Freed - former Chariman, B.C. Conference, United Church of Canada, Parksville

Bill Howie - retired United Church of Canada officer, Victoria, B.C.

Phil Spencer - United Church clergyman, Qualicum Beach, B.C.

John Cashore - former minister of the government of British Columbia, Vancouver

Robert Paulson - Superintendent of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Ottawa

Peter Montague - Inspector, "E" Division, Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Vancouver

Daniel Fulton, Chief Executive Officer, Weyerhaeuser Ltd., Seattle

Ron Huinink, lawyer, Vancouver

Terry Whyte, former Chairman, St. Andrew's United Church, Port Alberni, B.C.

Sean Atleo, Grand Chief, Assembly of First Nations, Ottawa

William Mountour, Chairman, Six Nations Confederacy, Oshweken, Ontario

ICLCJ Case 22513-001

March 5, 2013 - Brussels



REGINA/THE QUEEN

V

PHILIP ARUNDEL VAN DER WESTHUIZEN

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF ARGUMENTS IN APPENDIX 1
THESE ARE NOT THE ARGUMENTS – THE ARGUMENTS ARE IN ‘THE
CHALLENGE DOCUMENT’ IN APPENDIX 1

LAWFUL ARGUMENT AGAINST JURISDICTION & SOVEREIGNTY

1. Mrs. Elizabeth A. M. Battenberg’s Fraudulent Coronation.

- 1.1. The Coronation Stone that she was crowned upon is a fake. The real Bethel-porphry Coronation Stone was removed from Westminster Abbey at 4am on 25/12/1950.
- 1.2. It (“**National Treasure No. 1**”) was taken to Scotland where it was repaired and hidden.
- 1.3. A fake practice copy, made of Scottish-sandstone (from a quarry near Scone), was returned instead.
- 1.4. The fake stone weighs 336 lbs.
- 1.5. The original stone weighs 458 lbs.

Therefore her unlawful crowning renders her and the judge devoid of authority to try anyone.

2. Some of Her Other Crimes.

- 2.1. She knew that she was being crowned on a fake stone and should be arrested and charged for high treason against God and Christ.
- 2.2. She has allowed legislation in defiance of God’s Law (Deut 4:2), which she “promised ... to maintain to the utmost of her power”.
- 2.3. She has allowed the forming of political parties, contrary to That Law, which divided and weakened the nation.
- 2.4. She has removed the death-penalty, which That Law prescribes as a deterrent for capital crimes.
- 2.5. She has encouraged and promoted forbidden sodomy.
- 2.6. She has enriched herself contrary to The Law.

- 2.7. She has legalized usury / interest that has impoverished a nation.
- 2.8. She has ignored the “Year of Release” where debts are cancelled and wealth is shared, thus eliminating poverty.
- 2.9. She has allowed non-British peoples; the EU, to rule over us.
- 2.10. She has allowed and condoned witchcraft, contrary to The Law.
- 2.11. All the above crimes carry the death-penalty, for her as well as all other rejecters of The Law.

Sir William Blackstone famously stated, “No enactment of man can be considered law unless it conforms to the law of God.”

- 2.12. She is massively in breach of contract and The Law, is NOT the Lawful Sovereign, never has been, has NO jurisdiction, and is criminally guilty of capital crimes, and all despite dire warnings from God.
- 2.13. **The Crown / Prosecution / Regina and the judge have NO authority to try anyone because the judge’s authority comes from her.**
- 2.14. Her breach of contract renders null and void proceedings in her name.
- 2.15. She authorized the British military “war crimes” that Tony Blair was found guilty of, by the Kuala Lumpur War Crimes Commission, and is thereby also a war criminal.
- 2.16. She has also been found guilty of crimes by the International Common Law Court of Justice and a convicted criminal cannot lay charges.

She and the Signed Oath and the Sovereign’s Bible must be present in court so that she can answer to these charges, before a jury.


THE IMPORTANCE OF THE CORONATION STONE

All British / Israel kings since David have been crowned on Jacob's Pillar / Bethel / Stone of Destiny / Lia Fail <http://JAHTruth.net/liafail>, which is that Stone that Jacob slept upon at Luz, and had his famous dream of the angels ascending and descending to and from heaven (Genesis 28:11-22). He kept it as the perpetual possession of the UNION of the 12 tribes of JACKob, who are the (I)saac's sons; the Saxons (Genesis 21:12), which includes the Gibraltarians who are Gadites. <http://JAHTruth.net/abraham.htm#Gad>

In the British Isles the monarchy started with Teia Tephi, king Zedekiah's daughter, who escaped the sacking of Jerusalem together with Jeremiah the Prophet, and a small party. They also had Jacob's Pillar, The Ark of The Covenant, David's Harp (Irish symbol) and some other relics of Israel with them. They travelled to Ireland via Gibraltar, where they spent 5 months, and where she was crowned as their queen. Because she defeated the worshipers of Neptune with The Law of God / Torah, the Gibraltarians gave her Neptune's Trident, which she is shown holding in her famous emblem, in which she is known as Britannia, as discovered by JAH / Muad'Dib <http://JAHTruth.net/mal4>.

The genuine Palestine Porphyry Coronation Stone / Jacob's Pillar weighs 458 lbs and shows the wearing from being carried about by the Israelites (Jacob's name was changed to Israel) for many decades, and especially where the pole that traversed the carrying rings, by being bowed from the weight, ground a groove into the top of it.


Jacob's Pillar



The Fake Copy



The fake Perthshire Sandstone copy, which is now on display at Edinburgh Castle, does not show the wearing of many decades of years of being carried about, and weighs 336 lbs, and which is the stone that Elizabeth Battenberg was the first British monarch to be crowned upon.



Politics	UFO's	Heraldry	The Lia Fail	Gibraltar	The Way Home	Home Page	Celtic
Prophecy	Environmental	The Truth About...	Koran	Movies	Social and Spiritual	Scripture	Ireland



"Gibraltar"

His Rock of Defence.

Welcome to the **JAH**
Gibraltar page.



Learn all about **The 'Munitions' of Rock**, **The Weirding Way** and The Rock's "Bright" future on this, the JAH's Gibraltar Page. . .

Please select a subject from the following by clicking on its underlined title or picture-box.




Gibraltar

The ONLY Key to Gibraltar's future Sovereignty is on The Flag.

There is **absolutely; undoubtedly** and **infallibly** only **ONE** Key to Gibraltar's future prosperous and secure **self-determination** and that is the **ONE** on its flag, which is there for **all** to see; except "**the blind being led by the blind**", naturally. . .


The First Principle of God's Government - Theocracy

Jesus said, "I am **The Way**, the Truth and the Life" and "**no** man comes to the Father **except** by me." How does **that** relate to the Song of Moses (the Mosaic Law in The Covenant)? The Covenant is called "**The Way**" in The Torah and Jesus was saying that he was a living demonstration of it (**The Way**) in action, demonstrating how **YOU** have to be if **YOU** want to survive and go home to Father...



"The 'Sleeper' must awaken"


The [film DUNE](#) (not the book) which is supposedly science-fiction, and visually is portrayed as such in the film, is, **in reality**, a **TRUE** story that is unfolding **now** and it is about **GIBRALTAR - Gebal Tariq - The Rock of "The Night-Visitant" (in Arabic)**. . .



If you were the extra-terrestrial Ruler and 'King of the Universe'; Who had sent information **CRITICAL** to the survival of human+Beings, over thousands of Earth years, in exact and minute detail, and the relatively primitive inhabitants of Planet Earth had turned parts of it into nonsensical, ritualistic religious "rites and ceremonies" so that no-one read and studied the actual information with an open-mind and without applying incorrect preconceived ideas to it anymore; how would **you** reach those people who deserved to **SURVIVE**?

[Moses and Gibraltar from The Holy Koran](#)

. . .Behold, Moses said to his attendant, "I will not give up (the search for enlightenment) until I reach the junction of the two seas (the Straits of Gibraltar) or (until) I spend years and years in travel. . .



The Book of Tephi describes in detail the journey Jeremiah The Bible Prophet took with the daughter of king Zedekiah of Jerusalem called Teia Tephi, **The Lia Fail** (Stone of Destiny) and **The Ark of The Covenant** from Jerusalem to Ireland, via Tanis in Egypt (as in "Raiders of The Lost Ark") then on to **Gibraltar** (where she was proclaimed queen of the Gadite Israelites who live there); Breogan in Spain; Cornwall and eventually landing at Howth, near Dublin, in Ireland on the 18th. of June 583 B.C.

[THE STORY](#) (in brief) of [Tephi, queen of Tara and Gibraltar.](#)

Teia Tephi became the queen of Ireland on the 21st of June in 583 B.C. and was later wrongfully deified as a mythical goddess called Bo / Bovinda, which is why over the passage of time she became lost in the realms of myth and fantasy, ceasing to be remembered as the real flesh and blood queen, who came to Ireland from Jerusalem, that she really

Researched and compiled
by JAH

was...

**A Message for
Gibraltar from
God in The
Koran
To The
Fremen/JEDI's**

Gibraltar - Gebal Tariq (*in Arabic*, meaning - The Rock of "The **Night Visitant**"). **Koran Sura 86** - By the Heavens and "The Night Visitant" and what will explain to you what "The Night Visitant" **is**? It **is** the "Star" (*the [Luminous] Being of Light* - Rev. 9:1 and 22:16) of piercing brightness (*the Light of the world*); behold **this is** The Word (*made flesh* - John 1:14) that distinguishes Good from Evil (Truth from Lies; Reality from Deception; Fact from Fiction).

It is NOT a thing for AMUSEMENT.

**Spanish Propaganda -
another
Commonly-held
Misconception**

**Columbus did
NOT
discover
America**

**Phoenician-Israelites
(not Jewish)
discovered America at
least 2,000 years
before Columbus was
born.**

Most of you will have been taught in school, the little rhyme:

"In fourteen hundred and ninety-two Columbus sailed the ocean blue."

He did, but he did **NOT** discover [America](#), as Spaniards claim. That claim is one of Spain's propaganda lies that has become a commonly-held **misconception** by the entire world, even though it is **completely untrue** and unfounded, **JUST LIKE THEIR FALSE CLAIM TO GIBRALTAR...**

**Crossing the Threshold
of Hope - (Gibr-altar).**

by John Paul pope

IS HE SERIOUS?

The pope and his followers
have NO chance of entering
at the 'Strait' Gate.

by JAH

"What would Christ **do** if He could see? What would He, who rode on a donkey, think about the luxurious car, with the ornate throne as a seat? What would He say, if He saw the portfolio of the Vatican (stock-market) shares? What would He **do** if He saw all the treasures of the Vatican, treasures which **could** be used to alleviate ignorance by improving education? What would be His reaction to the **comfortable lives** of the members of the Curia? If Christ suddenly appeared in the middle of St. Peter's Square and said: "Leave your riches and follow me," I wonder how many would.

GIBRALTAR**GEBAL TARIQ**

The Rock of "The Night-Visitant"
(in Arabic)

British or Spanish?

by JAH

The TRUE Historical FACTS
will surprise you!

British or Spanish?

As much as I **LOVE** Spain and the Spanish people, I have to say in all fairness that their claim to sovereignty over Gibraltar is **FALSE** and that history and the **FACTS** shoot holes in their argument and it just will **NOT** "hold water".

I am a non-Gibraltarian who has had a life-long love-affair with Spain and her people and therefore feel well-qualified to take a non-biased, historical and factual look at the claim currently put forward by Madrid and to reach a **factual** and **honest** conclusion.

**Let the Inhabitants of
The Rock sing the
'New Song'**

The Song of Moses
and The Lamb

by JAH

What is the "New Song" and why does "The Word" want **YOU** to learn to sing it and to **Live** and sing it **on The Rock**?

Jesus said, "I am **The Way**, the **Truth** and the **Life**" and "**no** man comes to the Father **except** by me." The Covenant is called "The Way" in The Torah and Jesus was saying that he was a living demonstration of it (The Way) **in action**, demonstrating how **YOU** have to be if **YOU** want to survive and go home to Father. We have perfect harmony between the two "Songs", the "Song" of Moses and of The Lamb (Christ).

Politics	UFO's	Heraldry	The Lia Fail	Gibraltar	The Way Home	Home Page	Celtic
Prophecy	Environmental	The Truth About...	Koran	Movies	Social and Spiritual	Scripture	Ireland

God Bless You

Peace Be Upon You

GIBRALTAR MESSENGER

Fifth Edition

Newsletter Updates at gibraltarmessenger.net

Vote for Christ and God's Perfect Law of Liberty

Dear People of Gibraltar and The Campo de Gibraltar.

You are being ruled and policed by criminals and traitors masquerading as government and law-enforcement, and that includes H.M. Courts of Injustice, supervised by H.M.'s Chief Injustice Mr. Anthony Dudley, who is fraudulently masquerading as a judge.

Police, courts and government are registered **companies** trading for **profit** and working together to **steal** money from the law-abiding public that they falsely "claim" to serve.

In order to maximize their **profits**, as **ALL** commercial companies strive to do, they need to keep finding new ways to steal/extort more and more from the people, and that is where the politicians come in, to fraudulently legislate to criminalise more and more things that are, **in fact**, perfectly lawful, and you intuitively **KNOW** this when you get fined (have your money stolen/extorted) for doing nothing wrong, having harmed no-one. They also legislate to make unlawful and perverted things, that are condemned by God, "legal". Legal does **NOT** mean Lawful: it means the opposite.

Selling cigarettes and then stealing them from their lawful owners, along with their motor vehicles, like the fast-launches and RIBS that were stolen before them, **is theft**, pure and simple. The cigarettes were purchased perfectly lawfully, and thus lawfully belong to the purchaser. When the police confiscate/**steal** them and then the courts fine the owners, under



*H.M.'s Chief Injustice
Mr. Anthony Dudley*

fraudulent legislation, adding insult to injury, they are, **in reality**, stealing them from their lawful owners to maximize their **profits** and are thus **thieves** masquerading as law-enforcement.

Likewise with them **kidnapping** /**stealing** and towing away people's vehicles; which they have no right to do, or even to touch, unless they are causing an obstruction; then holding the vehicles prisoner for ransom and demanding/extorting money to get them back. This is again, **in reality**, **theft**, pure and simple. You all **KNOW** this, but still accept it. **WHY?**

They get away with all kinds of **theft**, by deceiving the public with false, fraudulent and thus unlawful/criminal legislation, into believing that what they are doing is lawful, when in fact it is **criminal**. This includes parking and speeding fines, wheel-clamping, etc., etc., etc.

They are all criminal organisations masquerading as government and law enforcement. They are the modern equivalent of the people that Jesus condemned:-

Matthew 23:26 [Thou] blind politician, cleanse first that [which is] within the cup and platter, that the outside of them may be clean also.

23:27 Woe unto you, lawyers and politicians, hypocrites! for ye are like unto whited sepulchres, which indeed appear beautiful outward, but are within full of dead [men's] bones, and of all filthiness.

23:28 Even so ye also outwardly appear righteous unto men, but within ye are full of hypocrisy and inequity.

Political parties are prohibited by God, in His Law, because they divide the people and conquer them, whilst giving the false impression that the people have a say in what they do. It is a Punch and Judy Show and theatre to deceive people. God prohibits men from legislating and no-one **EVER** voted to get fined for speeding or having their property stolen, or kidnapped and held to ransom.

Deuteronomy 5:32 Ye shall observe to do therefore as the "I AM" your God hath commanded you: ye shall not turn aside to the right hand (GSD/PP) or to the left (GSLP/PSOE). **5:33** Ye shall walk in all the ways which the "I AM" your God hath commanded you, that ye may live, and [that it may be] well with you, and [that] ye may prolong [your] days in the land which ye shall possess.

Continued on Reverse

Matthew 12:25 And Jesus knew their thoughts, and said unto them, Every kingdom divided against itself is brought to desolation; and every city or house divided against itself shall not stand:

You cannot be free and governed by men: the two things are diametrical opposites from each other. Only this Truth can set you free.

John 8:22 Then said Jesus to those Jews which believed him, If ye continue in my Word, [then] are ye my disciples indeed;

8:23 And ye shall know the Truth, and the Truth shall make you free.

It is the same in Spain and the police, courts and politicians in both countries are harming the local populations to protect their rich sponsors and their business interests, both in Madrid and Gibraltar, to the detriment and impoverishment of the local people.

They deceive with fancy talk about “free-trade”, but it is only free for the rich parasites, who feed off the people’s backs, blood, sweat and tears.

God gave you His Universal Law in the Torah/Pentateuch – the Books of Moses: Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy – to protect you from criminals. That is why you **NEED** to return to it and **enforce** it against these criminals, to set yourselves free from their evil clutches.

Malachi 4:1 For, behold, the Day cometh, that shall burn like an oven; and **all** the proud, yea, and all that do wickedly, shall be stubble: and the day that cometh shall burn them up, saith the "I AM" Lord of hosts, that it shall leave of them neither **root** nor **branch** (nothing).

4:2 But unto you that fear My

name shall the Sun of Righteousness arise with healing in his wings; and ye shall go forth, and grow up as calves of the stall.

4:3 And ye shall tread down the wicked; for they shall be **ashes** under the soles of your feet in The Day that I shall do [this], saith the "I AM" Lord of hosts.

4:4 Remember ye and return to The Law of Moses My servant, which I commanded unto him in Horeb for **all** Israel, [with] the Statutes and Judgments.

4:5 Behold, I will send you Eli-JAH the Prophet before the coming of the great and dreadful Day of the "I AM" (**Sura 43:61**):

4:6 And he shall turn the heart of the fathers to the children, and the heart of the children to their fathers, lest I come and smite the earth with a curse (**see verse 1**).

You need to **stop** voting for politicians and what you think is the lesser of two evils, because the lesser of two evils is still **EVIL, and voting for political parties is AGAINST The Law.**

You also **NEED** to challenge their jurisdiction and authority, in order to stop these criminal organisations from stealing from and impoverishing you, and vote for God and Christ the Messiah to rule and institute God’s Perfect Royal Laws of Liberty in Gibraltar and The Campo, uniting both together instead, or you will **ALL** burn, soon.

JforJustice.net/challenge and JforJustice.net/download/Challenge.zip

You should all write on the ballot papers, in the upcoming election, “none of the above” and write that you vote for Christ and God’s Perfect Laws of Liberty instead.

If you need any help with fighting for your rights please email – Muad-Dib@JforJustice.net.

All of these traitors know, but will never tell you, that when you “register” (from Latin **rex, reg- ‘king’**) anything you voluntarily hand over

ownership of it to the Crown/State. The solicitors and barristers and judges know this, and tell you that you **have to** register your car, house, boat, and even your children, registering their birth and getting a Birth Certificate.

This is **fraud** on a gigantic scale and they **ALL** are party to it and thus criminals and traitors.

When you register the birth of your child you hand over “**ownership**” of your child to the Crown/State, and that is why they can take “your” (their) children from you, using their Social Services any time they like, because you were tricked into registering them.

The police trick you into entering into a contract with them, without knowing you are doing so, but they know. When they ask you if you “understand”, they do **not** mean do you comprehend what they are saying, they mean do you stand under (under-stand) their authority, thus placing themselves above you, with you agreeing to enter into a contract with them on that basis, so that they can prosecute and fine you (steal your money).

They use their own fraudulent language called legalese, that uses English words that you think you understand when you don’t, because in legalese they have a different meaning in order to deceive you. These traitors **ALL** know this and that they are deceiving you and tricking you into giving them your money and property.

Any contract has to have full disclosure, and be entered into voluntarily, in full knowledge of and agreement to its terms, or it is unlawful and cannot be enforced, but they use deception to trick you into accepting their contract without disclosing the terms, or that you are entering into contract with them. This is fraud and criminal. Fraud nullifies everything. They are criminals in uniforms and wigs.

God Bless You

Peace Be Upon You

GIBRALTAR MESSENGER

Fourth Edition

Newsletter Updates at gibraltarmessenger.net

Gibraltar's Choices on War Strategies & God's Protection

Dear Gibraltarians,

As always, We hope this finds you well, in good spirit and having a good day.

It appears that the government is determined to ignore, or reject, good advice, and defiantly put your future in jeopardy. We previously warned you about your vulnerability to missile/bomb attacks upon your water-supply, in the coming world war, because of the desalination-plant's location on the North Mole, out in the open for all the world to see. So, what does the government do? As well as dismantling, instead of repairing, the massive water-catchment area, it decides to locate the new generating-station on the North Mole, as well; instead of safely inside the Rock, in place of the derelict WW2 generators. So that it too can easily be attacked and destroyed, thereby leaving Gibraltar without both power and water. Does that make any sense to you? Only in a lunatic asylum would people be so foolish. Welcome to Planet Earth, the Universe's Lunatic Asylum.

The question NEEDS to be asked: Is the government doing all of this on purpose?


We have been telling you, for more than twenty years, that the UK government will continually say that they will protect you, whilst doing the opposite. Surely it must be obvious by now, that We alone have told you the truth, with the UK doing nothing to stop Spanish military incursions, both by sea and now air. What will it be next? APCs and Tanks?



Russian Sukhoi-Su-35

Will the UK government just pass it off, as doing no harm, like the sea and air incursions? Oh, no need to worry, the Spanish APCs and tanks are just on a friendly sight-seeing tour. What exactly will it take, before the UK PHYSICALLY stops them? We have told you what to do to force the UK to act, whilst they are still able to, but, as usual, you ignore Our advice.

However, relying on the UK or the USA in the long-term is foolish, because, for their and your defiance of God, and rejection of the Sovereignty of Christ, they are going to lose WW3, as is prophesied in Revelation 11 about the Two Witnesses – Britain and America - the TRUE Israel. Log on to [\[JAHTruth.net/horse\]](http://JAHTruth.net/horse); and [\[JAHTruth.net/britca\]](http://JAHTruth.net/britca). You are Israelites too: [\[JAHTruth.net/gibfg\]](http://JAHTruth.net/gibfg); and [\[JAHTruth.net/britspan\]](http://JAHTruth.net/britspan).



Chinese J-31

Christ, not Elizabeth, is your rightful Sovereign.

God has always defended them and you, until now, because of His Promise

to Abraham, but that promise has already been fulfilled, so has now run out, and He is going to punish them and you for your idolatry, and defying Him, rejecting Christ as your King, breaking His Commandments and your Covenant with Him, to be His demonstration people to the

rest of the world, about how wonderful it is to live under His Commandments and Perfect Royal Law of Liberty, and His Blessings that accompany obedience. Log on to – [\[JAHTruth.net/abraham\]](http://JAHTruth.net/abraham); and [\[JAHTruth.net/blescur\]](http://JAHTruth.net/blescur).

During the previous two World Wars and previous wars, He sank the Spanish Armada that Sir Francis Drake mopped-up after, and gave the British and Americans the best weapons' technology with which to defeat their enemies, but now **He is giving it to Russia, China and Iran instead, so that they will win WW3, and the UK and USA will lose.**

Look at the Joint Strike Fighter F35 piece of ridiculously expensive junk, and compare it to the amazing Russian and Chinese planes. The American designer of the F15 and F16 has said that the F35 is the worst plane the US has ever designed, and the F22 is not much better. See this weblink:

[\[http://sploid.gizmodo.com/the-designer-of-the-f-16-explains-why-the-f-35-is-such-1591828468\]](http://sploid.gizmodo.com/the-designer-of-the-f-16-explains-why-the-f-35-is-such-1591828468).

Here's what the UK Daily Express wrote about it:

[\[www.express.co.uk/news/uk/547086/RAF-s-150million-stealth-fighter-jet-could-shut-down-after-overheating-fears\]](http://www.express.co.uk/news/uk/547086/RAF-s-150million-stealth-fighter-jet-could-shut-down-after-overheating-fears).

Continued on Reverse

"Gibraltar's Choices" (continued)

Here's what the impartial
Australians have said:

[www.youtube.com/watch?v=27qdB1Dos9M].

N.B. Unbiased American report:

[www.youtube.com/watch?v=sNRM07oHwos].

There's also the ridiculously
expensive USN stealth ship that
some say they dare not take out to
sea, because it would capsize and
sink.

Then there's the recent incident in
the Black Sea, where the latest
Aegis Class missile destroyer, the
USS Donald Cook (Donald Sitting
Duck would be more appropriate)
was left helpless by a single
unarmed Russian SU-24 tactical
fighter-bomber, that disabled all its
defenses and teased and mocked
the crew; who were mucking
themselves; by flying over it 12
times, showing that it could easily
have sunk it, and them. Many of the
sailors then resigned from the US
Navy. See
[www.voltairenet.org/article185860.html].

This doesn't even countenance the
amazing Russian Sunburn Onyx/
Yakhont supersonic anti-ship
missiles and Shkval torpedoes. See:
[www.globalsecurity.org/military/world/russia/moskit.htm] and
[www.globalsecurity.org/military/world/russia/shkval.htm].

When WW3; that the banksters are
desperate to start; gets underway,
your friendly neighbour Madrid will
be on the opposing and winning
side. Then what will you do? See:
[JforJustice.net/banksters].

If you want to survive, you NEED to
study, digest, and LIVE: "The Way
home or face The Fire" –
[thewayhomeorfacethefire.net], the
title of which is self-explanatory,
and become a light to the World, in
fulfillment of the vision that a lady

[Web-links are on gibraltarmessenger.net]

from the Bethel church had,
twenty years ago. The vision she
was given was of a large diamond
(Christ – the Light of the World) in
Gibraltar giving off a beautiful
light, but with leaves continually
falling over it (Him), trying to cover
it (Him) up, until it (He) finally
threw off those leaves and lit up
the whole world from Gibraltar.

Your ONLY hope, as We've been
telling you, is to stop your idolatry
and start to listen and do as you
have been commanded to do by
God, and return to His Law, if you
want His protection, because the
UK and USA will be defeated, and
unable to help even themselves,
never mind you. No-one else is
going to be able to help you. No-
one! See [JAHTruth.net/horse].

We have been telling you this for
more than twenty years, but you
obstinately ignore and reject Our
advice, in favour of the sweet
sounding lies and delusions from
politicians and so-called priests,
also exactly as prophesied in the
Bible, and as the lady was shown
symbolically in the vision.

We have noticed that, also in
defiance of Our advice, over the
last twenty years, more and more
Gibraltarians are speaking Spanish,
in preference to English. What
message does that send to
Madrid? That they are winning!
Like I said, what common-sense
can you expect in a lunatic asylum?



*Russian Stealth-
Fighter Sukhoi T-50*

Are you ready to change your ways
yet, and accept Our help?
You're running out of time.

We have already given you some
solutions in previous editions. You
need to study and apply them.



Victory is Always the Goal of War

Russian and Chinese military doctrines
rely on willingness to sacrifice millions
of their own citizens, for the sake of
victory. Their generals obviously have
to consider the possibility of war with
the Western nations. Russia and China
have to work with the understanding
of their specific conditions, and their
conditions dictate that a victory means
using nuclear weapons, since using
only conventional weapons is unlikely
to result in a quick and decisive victory.

The overall propensity of Eastern
weapons' systems to use fewer
electronics; the high probability of
using nuclear weapons on the tactical
level; and the fielding of direct-energy
weapons; give Eastern militaries an
advantage over the Western nations.
Russian military doctrine is very
explicit about using nuclear weapons.
Russian weapons' systems (which are
typically copied by China) are designed
for nuclear war, which means relying
as little as possible on electronics,
because of the EMP-effect of nuclear
weapons. Western weapons' and
logistics' systems have become
increasingly dependent on electronics,
since the end of the Cold War, making
them more vulnerable to nuclear and
direct-energy weapons. Russia is also
actively fielding weapons designed to
specifically target electronics, like
those used to disable the USS Donald
Cook. The military advantage afforded
to Russia and China by using nuclear
weapons; combined with the fact that
these nations have already decided the
human costs of such a war to be
acceptable; mean that the risk of
nuclear confrontation, in response to
Western aggression, is increasing.