

# ViPS: Video-informed Pose Spaces for Auto-Rigged Meshes

HONGLIN CHEN\*, Columbia University, USA

KARRAN PANDEY, University of Toronto, Canada

RUNDI WU, Columbia University, USA

MATHEUS GADELHA, Adobe Research, USA

YANNICK HOLD-GEOFFROY, Adobe Research, Canada

AYUSH TEWARI, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

NILOY J. MITRA, Adobe Research, United Kingdom and University College London, United Kingdom

CHANGXI ZHENG, Columbia University, USA

PAUL GUERRERO, Adobe Research, United Kingdom




Fig. 1. We introduce ViPS, a universal feedforward model that lifts static, auto-rigged meshes into a *pose space*, i.e., a plausible and navigable manifold of deformed shapes. Specifically, we distill latent motion priors of foundational video models into a feedforward model to reveal such a pose space. This enables several applications: (a) manifold-constrained editing; (b) smooth pose-space interpolation, and (c) pose-guided video synthesis. The discovered pose space remains query-able using a single 3D mesh and its autorig (using RigAnything [Liu et al. 2025b]) to generate a manifold of plausible poses; invalid configurations, such as unnatural bone twisting, fall outside this manifold (indicated with red dots). Please see supplemental videos and 4D visualizations.

Kinematic rigs provide a structured interface for articulating 3D meshes but lack any associated *pose space*, i.e., an explicit representation of the *plausible* manifold of joint configurations for a given mesh. Without such a pose space, stochastic sampling or manual manipulation of raw rig parameters easily results in semantic and/or geometric violations, such as anatomical hyperextension and non-physical self-intersections. We propose Video-informed Pose Spaces (ViPS), a feedforward framework that discovers the latent distribution of valid articulations for (auto-)rigged meshes by distilling motion priors from a pretrained video diffusion model. Unlike existing methods that rely on scarce, artist-authored 4D datasets, or focus on reconstructing instances of individual motions, ViPS transfers generative video model priors into a universal distribution over the given rig parameterization. Differentiable geometric validators applied to the skinned mesh enforce shape-specific integrity without requiring manual regularizers. Our feedforward model reveals a smooth, compact, and controllable pose space. This, in turn, supports sampling for diverse shape variations, manifold projection for inverse kinematics, and temporally coherent trajectories for animation and keyframing. Further, the distilled 3D pose samples serve as semantic proxies to guide video diffusion, effectively closing the loop between generative 2D priors and structured 3D kinematic control. Our evaluations show that ViPS, trained solely using video priors, matches the performance

of state-of-the-art models trained on synthetic artist-created 4D data in both plausibility and diversity. Additionally, as a universal model, ViPS exhibits robust zero-shot generalization to out-of-distribution species and unseen skeletal topologies. Project Page: <https://honglin-c.github.io/vips/>

## 1 Introduction

Kinematic rigs, such as shape skeletons, turn a 3D shape into an editable asset by exposing a set of controls joints. While this yields a low-dimensional parameterization of motion, it does not immediately enable finding *plausible poses* in that space. Naively exploring the parameters of such a (skeleton) rig readily produces implausible states such as hyperextension, unnatural twisting, or semantically implausible poses. In practice, artists manually author pose spaces by restricting and correlating rig parameters, ensuring edits result in plausible, artifact-free deformations. Although a powerful tool in the hands of skilled artists, a rig alone, without associated bounds and/or parameter coupling, does not specify *where* in this control space an asset can plausibly go. Modeling a *plausible pose space* (in short, *pose space*) for a given 3D shape (i.e., the distribution of joint configurations that are valid and semantically consistent for the shape) and the ability to traverse it make pose edits aware of asset semantics. Edits can be projected into the pose space and one can create asset-specific animations as walks through the pose space (see Figure 1). Unfortunately, such a pose space is not straightforward to define. In this work, we ask: *Given an auto-rigged 3D mesh, can we automatically discover its (plausible) pose space?*

\*This project was done during Honglin’s internship at Adobe Research.

Authors’ Contact Information: [Honglin Chen](#), Columbia University, USA; [Karran Pandey](#), University of Toronto, Canada; [Rundi Wu](#), Columbia University, USA; [Mathus Gadelha](#), Adobe Research, USA; [Yannick Hold-Geoffroy](#), Adobe Research, Canada; [Ayush Tewari](#), University of Cambridge, United Kingdom; [Niloy J. Mitra](#), Adobe Research, United Kingdom and University College London, United Kingdom; [Changxi Zheng](#), Columbia University, USA; [Paul Guerrero](#), Adobe Research, United Kingdom.

A key obstacle to answering this question affirmatively is the lack of supervision data. Learning mesh-specific pose distributions ideally requires a large repository of 3D/4D articulated data in the form of rigged meshes animations or pose sets, spanning the range of plausible configurations per asset. Such data is scarce, expensive to curate at scale, and typically category-specific, limiting its applicability across the long tail distribution of shapes. Meanwhile, modern video diffusion models [Adobe 2026; OpenAI 2026; Wan et al. 2025; Wiedemer et al. 2025; Yang et al. 2024] are purportedly trained on vast video corpora to encode strong, scalable priors over plausible motion and pose. Yet these priors are not grounded in a particular skeleton/rig and offer no precise, low-dimensional control interface. Thus, existing options provide either *controls without plausibility* (rigging) or *plausibility without control* (video priors). Furthermore, while 4D reconstruction methods [Song et al. 2025; Zhang et al. 2025a, 2024b] can recover rigged 4D motion sequences from videos, they remain fundamentally ‘instance-based.’ They do not learn the underlying manifold of plausible poses, leaving them unable to support interactive applications like semantically-aware pose editing through pose space projection, or smooth pose space traversal. Consequently, there remains a need for a representation that not only captures motion but organizes it into a navigable, generative domain.

We propose Video-informed Pose Spaces (ViPS), which *distills video diffusion priors into a mesh-conditioned pose space* that can be sampled using a universal feed-forward network, which, in turn, unlocks different ways to semantically and precisely drive mesh deformation as well as video generation. Given a mesh and its rig [Liu et al. 2025b; Xu et al. 2020] as condition, ViPS models a generative distribution over rig parameters (i.e., our pose space) using a diffusion model in rig space. We do *not* require any articulated 3D/4D repositories as supervision to train ViPS. Instead, we directly leverage a video diffusion model (TurboDiffusion Wan 2.2 [THU-ML 2025] in our tests) as a prior over plausible pose evolution, which we distill into the rig-parameter distribution. To robustly transform a video signal into rig-parameter space, we optimize rig parameters to match 4D motion guidance of the video based on ActionMesh [Sabathier et al. 2026], coupled with geometric priors applied directly to the posed, skinned mesh, encouraging samples that are both semantically plausible and geometrically valid for a given shape (see Figure 2). This video-informed data is then used to train our generative pose space model.

The resulting pose space is not only generative but also readily *navigable* and, empirically smooth. This low-dimensional distribution in rig space enables (i) *sampling* a variety of valid, plausible poses; (ii) *projection* of arbitrary or user-edited joint configurations back onto the manifold of plausible poses for handle-driven deformation (and inverse kinematics (IK)) as well as DDIM inversion with image diffusion; and (iii) *traversal* that traces coherent pose trajectories for animation and keyframing. These generated poses and trajectories can be directly used to animate meshes or rendered as *animated shape proxies* that provide stable, semantically aligned 3D guidance for image or video diffusion models. See Figure 1.

We evaluate ViPS across an extensive suite of diverse deformable assets, spanning a wide array of biological species and skeletal




Fig. 2. **Data comparison between artist-authored data and our data.** Learning controllable pose spaces requires large amounts of articulated 3D/4D motion data. Free, open-source datasets such as Objaverse-XL [Deitke et al. 2023] (top right) often contain animations whose quality varies substantially depending on asset origin and animator effort, while commercial datasets such as Truebones Zoo [Truebones Motions Animation Studios 2020] (bottom right) can provide higher-quality animations but are typically smaller in scale and expensive to acquire. In contrast, our data generation pipeline (left) converts rich motion priors from video models into diverse, correspondence-aware 4D motions, providing scalable supervision for learning navigable pose spaces.

topologies. Our experiments demonstrate that by distilling video-informed priors, our model matches the performance of state-of-the-art architectures [Gat et al. 2025] trained on synthetic 4D data (e.g., Objaverse-XL, TrueBones) in terms of both pose plausibility and distributional diversity. Further, as a universal generative model, ViPS exhibits zero-shot generalization to unseen species, successfully discovering valid pose spaces for assets entirely absent from the training distribution. We quantitatively validate these findings through metrics for pose validity, manifold coverage, and temporal smoothness, while showcasing superior controllability in downstream tasks. We observe similar behavior when distilling other video models (see supplemental material).

In summary, our main contributions are: (i) formulating *pose space discovery* as learning a universal mesh-conditioned generative distribution over rig parameters; unlike 4D reconstruction recovering specific instances of motion, ViPS learns the continuous manifold of valid configurations and enables applications like semantic pose edits and pose space walks; (ii) distilling video-to-pose space through a video diffusion model to supervise and transfer motion priors into rig space *without* curated 3D/4D motion/pose data to handle the long tail of shape variations; and (iii) introducing a high-quality 4D motion dataset with correspondence, containing 127k poses spanning 100+ species and 200+ unique individuals built from generative video priors with VLM guidance and 4D reconstruction.

## 2 Related Work

*Encoding animations.* A central question in character animation is *how to parameterize motion*. One naive approach is to encode deformation directly as *mesh sequences* [Lengyel 1999], which is expressive but high-dimensional and costly to store, edit, and generalize. Instead, artists rely on low-dimensional *rig-based* parameterizations, including linear blend skinning (LBS) [Lewis et al. 2000] and dual quaternion skinning [Kavan et al. 2008], often augmented with energy-based regularizers that preserve local rigidity or volume under articulation [Kilian et al. 2007; Lipman et al. 2007]. Alternative formulations such as cage-based deformation and differential coordinates [Sumner and Popović 2004; Yu et al. 2004] similarly provide structured controls through geometric constraints. More recently,




Fig. 3. **Different video model priors.** Our data pipeline can be seamlessly integrated with different video models as priors. Here, we show pose optimization results obtained by switching from our current video model Wan2.2-TurboDiffusion [THU-ML 2025] (right) to other video models (Kling [Team 2025], RunWay [Runway AI, Inc. 2026] and SeedDance [Seedance 2026]), while using the same input image and text prompt describing a robot running.

PhysRig [Zhang et al. 2025b] proposes a differentiable physics-based alternative to LBS, modeling soft-body volumes to capture complex dynamics like tissue jiggling. In the context of humans, Pose-NDF [Tiwari et al. 2022] models the manifold of plausible human poses as a zero-level set of a Neural Distance Field (NDF) in a joint configuration space. While effective, such implicit manifolds are often category-specific (i.e., humans) and lack the direct interpretability of a rig-based latent distribution. While these encodings, when carefully authored, can produce visually convincing motion, they typically require manual rigging. Further, they do not by themselves specify *what values are valid*, i.e., they expose degrees of freedom but not the bounded, coupled, semantically plausible *pose space*. The resultant movements remain semantic-agnostic, failing to capture the manifold of natural poses for any arbitrary shape inherent to its specific biological or mechanical structure.

*Learning rigs from artist data.* Learning-based auto-rigging turns static meshes into controllable assets by inferring rigs and deformation models from curated, artist-authored data. RigNet [Xu et al. 2020] pioneered supervised rig prediction using collections of rigged models with skeletons and skin weights, and subsequent systems infer skeletons, part structures, and deformation weights more broadly, including in weaker supervision regimes [Deng et al. 2025; Qiu et al. 2025; Weng et al. 2024]; see also articulated-object (e.g., furniture) variants [Li et al. 2025a; Liu et al. 2024]. These methods effectively recover an *efficient parameterization* (rig + weights) and thus a low-dimensional *control interface*, but they typically stop short of learning the *distribution* over controls: joint limits, parameter couplings, and the likelihood of poses implied by real motion. Concurrent to ours, RigMo [Zhang et al. 2026] jointly learns rig structure and motion dynamics directly from raw mesh sequences via a dual-path VAE. However, RigMo remains dependent on available 4D mesh sequences for training. Instead, we shift the supervision from 3D/4D artist data to the scalable prior of video diffusion models, enabling the discovery of pose spaces for assets where no ground-truth motion sequences exist.

*Reconstructing 4D from video data.* A separate line of work, inspired by recent breakthroughs in computer vision and tracking, obtains 4D (space-time) content from video by reconstructing *renderable* dynamic representations, most commonly via per-sequence optimization or amortized prediction. *Optimization-based methods* fit time-varying meshes or auto-rigs (e.g., Puppeteer [Song et al.

2025], S3O [Zhang et al. 2024b] and MagicPose4D [Zhang et al. 2025a]), dynamic NeRFs, or dynamic point-based renderers (e.g., Gaussian splats), producing high-fidelity reconstructions but requiring expensive test-time optimization, careful temporal regularization, and often canonical-template assumptions to stabilize correspondences [Park et al. 2021; Pumarola et al. 2020]. Likewise, 4D Gaussian splatting systems improve rendering efficiency, yet still commonly rely on multi-stage per-video optimization and regularization to maintain stable motion, visibility, and appearance [Liu et al. 2025a; Luo et al. 2025; Wu et al. 2025]. More recently, generative *feed-forward* approaches amortize inference into a single forward pass [Cao et al. 2024; Jiang et al. 2025; Li et al. 2025b; Ren et al. 2024; Xu et al. 2025; Yenphraphai et al. 2025; Zhang et al. 2025c], but their outputs are typically implicit fields, point-/splat-based primitives (e.g., 4D Gaussians) rather than a semantic, low-dimensional control space. We utilize ActionMesh [Sabathier et al. 2026], a feed-forward model that produces a displacement field to enable converting videos to animated meshes with tracked vertices. Utilizing this information, we develop the missing layer: instead of reconstructing 4D appearance, we distill video priors into plausible *pose space* to learn a structured latent distribution to sample from, enabling meaningful pose space navigation.

*Generative motion models beyond reconstruction.* Skeletal-free methods generate motion directly as point clouds [Mo et al. 2024], shape-handles [Zhang et al. 2024a], or deforming meshes [Muralikrishnan et al. 2025; Ye et al. 2024; Zhang et al. 2022]. These representations offer flexibility across character topologies, but they forgo the key benefits of skeletons: rigs provide a compact, semantically meaningful interface that is easy to manipulate with standard rig-based animation tools, and integrates naturally with animation workflows and inverse-kinematics pipelines. A separate class of models focuses on the generative capabilities of pose spaces. DPoser-X [Lu et al. 2025] leverages diffusion to model whole-body human priors, enabling robust pose completion from partial observations (e.g., inferring upper-body poses from legs); for quadrupeds, QuadForecaster [Noronha et al. 2025] utilizes cascaded diffusion for movement forecasting in animal communication analysis. While these models excel at individual categories, Dimo [Mou et al. 2025] generalizes this by distilling video priors into 3D motion for arbitrary objects. At the other end, methods that explicitly support arbitrary skeletons often require *topology-specific training* (either separate model instances or skeleton-specific adaptations), which




Fig. 4. **The ViPS data pipeline.** Given a species like ‘bear’, we first generate a clean single-object image of diverse species representatives using an image generator with prompts generated by a carefully instructed VLM. We then expand these images into many videos of diverse motions using a VLM-prompted video generator. We use a VLM to choose a frame  $\alpha$  as rest pose, reconstruct a textured mesh from the frame using SAM3D [Chen et al. 2025] and obtain meshes with shared topology for all other frames with the recent ActionMesh [Sabathier et al. 2026]. We generate a skeleton for frame  $\alpha$  using RigAnything [Liu et al. 2025b] and find skeleton poses for the other frames by optimizing the skeleton to match their 3D reconstructions. Using a video prior enables a more scalable pipeline to generate diverse motions and objects without relying on artist-created 3D/4D animation datasets.

scales poorly and limits cross-skeleton generalization [Li et al. 2022; Zhang et al. 2023]. AnyTop [Gat et al. 2025] is the closest skeletal-based baseline: it introduces a single diffusion model conditioned on skeletal topology and joint names, designed to generalize across diverse topologies; making it a strong baseline for multi-class motion generation, though it relies on curated 4D training data and does not explicitly discover a rig-parameter pose space from raw rigs. Additionally, both text labels and motion statistics for each joint need to be given as input (the mean and variance over joint motions are required for normalization), limiting its applicability to unseen species/shapes.

### 3 Method

Given a textured mesh  $M$  (with  $N_V$  vertices) rigged with skeleton  $S = (P, E, W)$ , where  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{N_P \times 3}$  are skeleton node positions,  $E \in \mathbb{N}^{N_E \times 2}$  are skeleton edges, and  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{N_P \times N_V}$  are skinning weights, our goal is to model the conditional distribution of plausible skeleton node positions  $p(P'|M, S)$ , corresponding to the distribution of plausible poses  $P'$ , with a feed-forward network  $f_\theta$ . Datasets with artist-rigged and artist-animated meshes are scarce, while video priors can create much more plentiful and varied examples of plausible object poses; so we instead use a video prior to obtain training data for  $f_\theta$ . We first describe how to obtain rigged meshes with multiple plausible poses from a video prior, and then how we use this data to model the conditional distribution of plausible rig parameters with the feed-forward network  $f_\theta$  and sample from it.

#### 3.1 Rigged Mesh Poses from a Video Prior

Our dataset consists of tuples  $(M, S, P')$ , where  $P'$  describes a plausible *deformed* pose of the rigged mesh  $(M, S)$  that is different from the *rest* pose  $P \in S$ . To obtain this dataset, we carefully prompt the video prior to generate videos of single objects performing various motions. Each frame of these videos corresponds to a plausible pose of the object. We then reconstruct an animated sequence of 3D meshes for each frame that are roughly aligned in a common world space. We use the first frame in each video as mesh  $M$  and extract a skeleton  $S$  from its 3D reconstruction using an existing method [Liu et al. 2025b]. Finally, we optimize the pose parameters of  $S$  to match each of the other frames, giving us plausible pose parameters  $P'$  and thus a dataset tuple  $(M, S, P')$  for each frame. The data generation pipeline is shown in Figure 4, each step is described in detail below.

**Video Generation.** To create videos of single objects performing various motions, the main difficulties are to (i) obtain videos of single objects that have favorable conditions for 3D reconstruction, such as avoiding additional background or scene elements, good lighting, having the full object in frame, etc.; and (ii) obtain videos of these objects performing a diverse set of plausible motions. To address the first issue, we split video generation into two steps: text-to-image generation with Flux 2 [Labs 2025], followed by expanding this first frame into a video using Wan2.2 [Wan et al. 2025] (accelerated with TurboDiffusion [THU-ML 2025]). Note that the rest of our data pipeline is not tied to a specific video model and can leverage different video models as motion priors (see Figure 3). We found that creating the first frame with an image prior yields higher-quality videos and better prompt adherence for the first frame. We take advantage of this prompt adherence by automatically instructing the VLM InternVL [Wang et al. 2025] to generate prompts that result in favorable conditions for 3D reconstruction. To address the second issue, we give InternVL the first frame and instruct it to generate detailed structured prompts for the video generator that describe diverse motion for the animal/object shown in the first frame. See the supplement for the exact instructions we give to InternVL in these two steps. We generate multiple videos for a given first-frame image, each with different motion descriptions generated by InternVL.

**4D Reconstruction.** Given a video, we reconstruct a textured 3D mesh that is then deformed/tracked over the frames. This is a 4D reconstruction task and we use Actionmesh [Sabathier et al. 2026] to obtain a sequence of meshes with shared mesh topology. However, as these meshes are untextured by default, we initialize Actionmesh with a SAM3D [Chen et al. 2025] reconstruction of a single frame. This gives us higher-quality textured meshes  $(M_1, M_2, \dots)$  with shared mesh topology across frames. The choice of frame  $\alpha$  for the initial mesh  $M_\alpha$  is important, as different frames may produce different mesh topologies. For example, using a frame that shows a bird with closed wings results in a mesh topology that does not support opening the wings, as the underside of the wings is not represented in the mesh. Similarly, choosing a frame with large occluded parts can result in poor mesh quality. We instruct InternVL to choose a frame where the object is clearly visible and has minimal self-occlusions or motion blur.

*Skeleton Optimization.* To construct our dataset tuples  $(M, S, P')$ , we start by defining the initial mesh as a rest pose and set  $M := M_\alpha$ . We obtain the skeleton  $S$  by running the RigAnything [Liu et al. 2025b] skeleton extractor on  $M$ . For deformed poses  $P'$ , we need to share the same mesh and skeleton topology as the rest pose  $(M, S)$ , and thus cannot simply extract skeletons for each mesh  $M_i$ . Instead, we obtain  $P'$  by optimizing the skeleton parameters of  $S$ , so that the skeleton-deformed mesh  $M'$  matches the reconstructed mesh  $M_i$  as closely as possible, by minimizing the following energy:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{recon}} := d_{\text{vert}}(M_i, M') \text{ with } M' := h(M, S, P'), \quad (1)$$

where  $h(M, S, P')$  denotes a deformation of mesh  $M$  through the skeleton  $S$  with node positions  $P'$  using linear blend skinning [Lewis et al. 2000; Magnenat-Thalmann et al. 1989] and  $d_{\text{vert}}$  is the mean square distance between corresponding vertices of two meshes. Recall that all meshes  $M_i$  share topology, therefore corresponding vertices are known. We include a skeleton regularization to encourage edge lengths in the skeleton to remain constant:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{edge}} := \frac{1}{N_E} \sum_{N_E} \left| \|P_{E_{i,0}} - P_{E_{i,1}}\| - \|P'_{E_{i,0}} - P'_{E_{i,1}}\| \right|, \quad (2)$$

where  $(E_{i,0}, E_{i,1})$  is the pair of node indices for skeleton edge  $i$ . The full optimization of the deformed pose  $P'$  is then defined as:

$$\arg \min_{P'} \mathcal{L}_{\text{recon}} + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{\text{edge}}, \quad (3)$$

where we set the regularization weight  $\lambda = 20$  in our experiments.

### 3.2 Learning a Pose Space

We train a diffusion model [Ho et al. 2020] to represent the conditional distribution  $p(P'|M, S)$  using the dataset tuples  $(M, S, P')$ . The feed-forward network  $f_\theta$  acts as the denoiser, taking as input a noisy version  $P^t$  of the node positions  $P'$ , with noise level  $t \in [0, T]$ , and predicting the denoised node positions. It is trained with a standard MSE loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{diff}} := w(t) \left\| f_\theta(P^t; E, P, F, t) - P' \right\|_2^2, \quad (4)$$

where  $w(t)$  is a weighting schedule for time steps  $t$ . To make the denoiser aware of the skeleton topology and the rest pose, we additionally provide the skeleton edges  $E \in S$  and the rest pose  $P \in S$  as input. Further, for the mesh  $M$ , we provide semantics in the form of per-node semantic features  $F$ , as defined later.

Once trained, we sample poses  $P^0 \sim p(P'|M, S)$  with the denoiser  $f_\theta$  using a stochastic denoising strategy [Ho et al. 2020]:

$$\begin{aligned} P^T &\sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}) \\ P^{t-1} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(a_t P^t + b_t (f_\theta(P^t; E, P, F, t) - P^T), \sigma_t^2 \mathbf{I}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $a_t, b_t$ , and the variance  $\sigma_t^2$  are chosen according to a denoising schedule, and  $\mathcal{N}$  is the normal distribution.

*Semantic Node Features.* In addition to the skeleton, our denoiser requires information about the mesh  $M$  to accurately model the distribution of plausible poses. A dog and a cat, for example, may have similar skeletons, but different pose distributions. We provide information about the mesh as a set of per-node semantic features  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{N_p \times N_F}$ , with feature dimension  $N_F$ . Each feature  $F_i \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times N_F}$  describes the semantics of the part in mesh  $M$  that is controlled by




Fig. 5. **The architecture of our universal pose denoiser  $f_\theta$ .** Given a mesh  $M$  and its skeleton  $S$  in rest pose, we sample plausible poses using multiple iterations of the denoising process illustrated here. The rest pose is concatenated as reference to the noisy pose, and to capture skeleton node semantics, we compute per-node semantic features from the textured mesh. This information is aggregated into a set of per-node tokens and used to estimate a denoised pose with a transformer. Skeleton edges are used to guide attention using a specialized *Skeletal Attention* block [Gat et al. 2025].

skeleton node  $i$ . Specifically, we first use Diff3f [Dutt et al. 2024] to obtain semantic features  $F^V \in \mathbb{R}^{N_V \times N_F}$  for each mesh vertex, where  $N_V$  is the number of vertices in  $M$ . By default, Diff3f computes these features as a combination DINO-based features [Oquab et al. 2024] and features from the intermediate layers of a 2D diffusion model. Both are obtained from multi-view renders of the mesh with generated texture. As our meshes  $M$  are already textured, we use the DINO-based features of Diff3f from our texture and the diffusion features of the generated texture, where the former offers the semantics provided by DINO and the latter contains spatial information provided by the diffusion features. Finally, we use the skinning weights  $W$  of the skeleton  $S$  to aggregate the per-vertex features  $F^V$  into per-node features  $F$ :

$$F_i := \frac{\sum_{j=1 \dots N_V} W_{i,j} F_j^V}{\sum_{j=1 \dots N_V} W_{i,j}}. \quad (6)$$

*Denoiser Architecture.* Since the number of nodes in a skeleton is variable, we use a diffusion transformer (DiT) that is capable of processing a variable number of tokens as backbone of the denoiser  $f_\theta$ . An overview of the architecture is shown in Figure 5. We base our architecture on AnyTop [Gat et al. 2025] that we modify to (i) model a distribution of poses rather than a distribution of pose sequences by removing the time dimension and the temporal attention; (ii) use our semantic node features  $F$  instead of a T5-encoded [Raffel et al. 2020] text label for each node, allowing us to apply the method to unlabelled skeletons; and (iii) use a different normalization for node positions  $P^t$  that removes the requirement to provide a ground truth example motion for each input skeleton. Specifically, we work with node positions  $P^t$  that are normalized as  $(P^t - P)/\sigma_P$ , that is, we use the offset of nodes from their rest pose, normalized by the dataset-wide average offset of any node from its rest pose  $\sigma_P$ . First, we encode all inputs  $(P^t, P, F, t)$  except the skeleton edges  $E$  into a token sequence  $x_1^{\text{in}}, \dots, x_{N_p}^{\text{in}}$  that can be processed by the DiT, with one token per node. Each input is encoded by a separate linear layer, and aggregated into tokens:

$$x_i^{\text{in}} := (e_x(P^t) | e_p(P)) + e_f(F) + e_t(t), \quad (7)$$




Fig. 6. **Manifold-Constrained Semantic Editing.** ViPS enables precise Inverse Kinematics (IK) by projecting user-driven joint handles (orange→green) into the discovered *plausible pose space*. By leveraging our *video-informed priors*, our model ensures that sparse edits result in anatomically valid and structurally consistent poses across a wide range of species and skeletal topologies, effectively avoiding the geometric artifacts common in unconstrained rig manipulation.

where  $|$  denotes concatenation and  $e_*$  denote the encoders. These tokens are then processed by the DiT:

$$x_1^{\text{out}} \dots x_{N_p}^{\text{out}} := \text{DiT}(x_1^{\text{in}}, \dots, x_{N_p}^{\text{in}}; E), \quad (8)$$

where the skeleton edges  $E$  are used in a special *Skeletal Attention* mechanism that makes the attention computation for pairs of nodes dependent on their topological relationships. See AnyTop [Gat et al. 2025] for more details. The output tokens  $x_i^{\text{out}}$  are then decoded by a small feed-forward network  $d_x$ :

$$f_\theta(P^t; E, P, F, t) := d_x(x_1^{\text{out}} \dots x_{N_p}^{\text{out}}). \quad (9)$$

### 3.3 Constrained Sampling of the Pose Space

*Inverting/reconstructing a given pose.* We approximately reproduce a given pose  $P'$  by inverting it into a noise sample  $P^T$  using DDIM inversion [Song et al. 2021]. Starting the inference process in Equation (5) from this noise sample approximates the pose  $P'$ .

*Sampling with sparse constraints.* We can generate a pose that follows our learned prior while also approximately following simple constraints using a guided sampling strategy [Pandey et al. 2025], similar to classifier-free guidance [Ho and Salimans 2021]. Constraint violation is modeled with an energy function  $\mathcal{E}$ , and in each inference step, the denoising trajectory is nudged to minimize the energy term by changing the inference procedure in Equation (5):

$$P^{t-1} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(a_t P^t + b_t (f_\theta(\hat{P}^t; E, P, F, t) - P^T), \sigma_t^2 \mathbf{I}\right), \text{ with} \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{P}^t := P^t - \nabla_{P^t} \mathcal{E}(f_\theta(P^t; E, P, F, t)).$$

## 4 Results

We evaluate ViPS by comparing randomly sampled poses to baselines, and demonstrate semantic pose editing as application. Videos, a larger set of results, experiments with different video priors, and additional applications such as keyframe-controlled video generation and latent space interpolation are available in the supplemental document and webpage: <https://honglin-c.github.io/vips/>.

*Training and Data Generation.* We train our model for 500k iterations on a single NVIDIA RTX A6000 GPU for three days. We use 100 diffusion steps, a batch size of 32, and a latent dimension of

128. For data generation, we run our data generation pipeline on 32 NVIDIA A100 GPUs for two days. Code will be made available.

*Datasets.* We evaluate on two types of human and animal data sets: A cross-species dataset focusing on diversity across species, and a single-species dataset focusing on diversity of individuals in a single species. *This data will be released on publication.*

For the cross-species training set, we select 100 random species that include mammals, birds, fish, humans, and fantasy creatures, and generate 2 images for each, corresponding to two individuals from the species with distinct appearance (for example a Puppy and a Labrador dog). Using 20 different motion prompts per individual, we generate 20 diverse videos, with 81 frames per video sub-sampled to 31 frames. We reconstruct with our pipeline to obtain 20 different rigged meshes with 31 poses each, for a total of 3.8k rigged meshes and 115k poses in the training set after filtering. For the cross-species test set, we generate 32 unseen individuals, 15 from unseen species and 17 from species shared with the training set. We include one rigged mesh per individual.

For the single-species training set, we select Dog as species and generate 20 images, corresponding to 20 individuals. Otherwise, the generation procedure matches the cross-species dataset, resulting in 400 rigged meshes and 12.2k poses after filtering. For the test set, we generate 2 unseen individuals and one rigged mesh per individual. Please see the supplement for additional details.

### 4.1 Pose Sampling and Interpolation

Our goal is to learn a pose distribution that is diverse and plausible, and can be applied to unseen skeletons, and even unseen species of animals without the need to re-train or fine-tune our model, and without the need for artist-created data. To evaluate how well our method achieved this goal, we first show several samples from the pose space of our feed-forward model for unseen skeletons and then compare to several baselines, both qualitatively and quantitatively.

*Pose samples for unseen rigged meshes with varying topology.* To evaluate if pose knowledge from the video generator was successfully distilled into our feed-forward model, Figure 9 shows poses randomly sampled by our feed-forward model for 11 rigged meshes




Fig. 7. **Qualitative Comparison.** We compare random poses samples generated with ViPS to all baselines on three individuals of the cross-species dataset and one individual of the single species dataset. Strongly implausible poses are marked in red. Our data generation allows generalization to a large range of species, such as the alien, and gives pose plausibility and diversity on par with the much more expensive Puppeteer.

in the cross-species test set. Even though exact skeleton topologies, mesh shapes and textures may be new to our feed-forward model, it generates poses that are plausible and natural for the given input object in just 0.5 seconds per pose.

*Baselines.* As the first *feed-forward framework for universal pose generation* trained exclusively on *unlabeled generated video data*, ViPS lacks a direct end-to-end baseline. Consequently, we evaluate our components against state-of-the-art methods in related sub-tasks. We identify AnyTop [Gat et al. 2025] as the most pertinent baseline due to its shared objective of cross-species learning and its related motion space.

For a fair comparison, we evaluate two variants: (i) *AnyTop-motion*, where we extract individual poses by randomly sampling 200 frames from 20 generated motion sequences; and (ii) *AnyTop-pose*, an architectural variant we modify to learn a static distribution of poses rather than sequences. Notably, unlike ours, AnyTop variants are trained on artist-authored datasets and require *privileged*

Table 1. **Quantitative Comparison on Pose Plausibility and Diversity** against state-of-the-art baselines on cross-species ( $\text{test}_{cs}$ ) and single-species ( $\text{test}_{ss}$ ) benchmarks. ViPS significantly outperforms AnyTop variants in *Plausibility* and *Diversity*, as measured by a user-study-based ranking (LSR) and distribution similarity (FSD) to the expensive offline method Puppeteer, despite those baselines having access to specialized artist-data. Notably, our *universal feed-forward model* maintains superior performance even in zero-shot cross-species scenarios, matching the plausibility of optimization-based reconstruction (Puppeteer) while providing a controllable generative manifold. Here we report the runtime for 10 sampled poses.

|                    | $\text{test}_{cs}$ |                  | $\text{test}_{ss}$ |                  | Time    |
|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------|
|                    | LSR $\uparrow$     | FSD $\downarrow$ | LSR $\uparrow$     | FSD $\downarrow$ |         |
| AnyTop-motion      | -0.21              | 1.49             | -2.78              | 2.28             | 2.5 sec |
| AnyTop-pose        | -1.65              | 1.04             | 0.01               | 1.02             | 4 sec   |
| <b>ViPS (ours)</b> | <b>-0.04</b>       | <b>0.31</b>      | <b>0.65</b>        | <b>0.87</b>      | 4 sec   |
| Puppeteer          | 1.90               | N/A              | 2.12               | N/A              | 30 min  |

*information*; specifically, semantic node labels and per-joint motion statistics—not typically available for unseen species. Artist data




Fig. 8. **Data comparison.** We compare our generated data to Puppeteer independently of the feed-forward model. Both our pipeline and Puppeteer reconstruct poses from video frames. In these examples, we fit poses to frames of a video that was generated from scratch using our data pipeline, while Puppeteer requires a video that was generated using a render of the rest pose mesh  $M_\alpha$  as initial frame. For each generated pose (rendered from two different views), we also show the video frame that the pose was reconstructed from. We can see that Puppeteer often fails to accurately reconstruct limb poses (highlighted in red). We found that this is usually caused by tracking errors between frames, especially after self-occlusion or large motions. Our 4D reconstruction does not require explicit tracking or inter-frame correspondences, resulting in more pose reconstructions that more accurately follow the video frames.

Table 2. **Data User Study.** We compare the motion plausibility and reconstruction quality of data generated with our pipeline against state-of-the-art baseline Puppeteer [Song et al. 2025] on 6 individuals of the cross-species test set, showing the average percentage of users that preferred our method or the baseline. Note that the timing reported here is for video reconstruction in our data pipeline (feed-forward 4D reconstruction-based optimization) and Puppeteer (tracking/rendering-loss-based optimization), and is separate from our feed-forward model’s inference time.

|                                  | Motion Plausibility $\uparrow$ | Motion Reconstruction $\uparrow$ | Time per Video $\downarrow$ |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Puppeteer                        | 19.2%                          | 29.2%                            | 30 min                      |
| <b>ViPS Data Pipeline (ours)</b> | <b>80.8%</b>                   | <b>70.8%</b>                     | <b>9 min</b>                |

are much higher in quality and semantic alignment; but hard to scale. We additionally provide these baselines with a “best-effort” approximation for out-of-distribution assets: using joint indices as labels, the rest pose as the mean, and VLM-derived statistics from the closest available species. Despite this additional structural and semantic guidance, our results demonstrate superior adaptability.

We also compare to Puppeteer [Song et al. 2025] as an upper bound and a reference for pose quality. Puppeteer is an optimization-based method, that requires 30 minutes on average to generate a

pose sequence. We randomly sample poses from 200 frames of 20 generated sequences per individual. The supplemental provides further comparisons of our data pipeline to Puppeteer.

*Metrics.* We measure two main properties of generated poses: *diversity* and *plausibility*. Note that we have no clear ground truth distribution to compare to, since our data pipeline is part of the contribution we aim to evaluate. Instead, we use the much more expensive Puppeteer as neutral ground truth. The Fréchet Skeleton Distance (FSD) [Maiorca et al. 2023, 2022], defined as the Fréchet distance between generated samples and Puppeteer samples, using concatenated normalized joint positions as feature vectors, measures both *diversity* and *plausibility* – a lower value means better alignment with the Puppeteer distribution. For *plausibility*, we additionally perform a user study where users are tasked with comparing the plausibility of pose sets generated by our method to baselines in two-alternative forced choice comparisons. We had 24 participants answering a total of 360 pairwise comparisons. To get a per-method score, we show the Luce Spectral Ranking [Maystre and Grossglauser 2015] (LSR) computed from these comparisons. See the supplement for more details on both metrics.



Fig. 9. Zero-shot results on unseen individuals. We show results of our feed-forward model on several unseen rigged meshes.




Fig. 10. **Ablations of three choices.** On top, we show samples from our feed-forward model using the same input mesh with *different skeletons*, which has a limited effect on the generated poses. In the middle, we show the effect of using different frames  $\alpha$  for the initial mesh  $M_\alpha$ , which can have a large effect on the quality of the reconstructed poses if there are significant topology changes of the 3D shape in different frames. At the bottom, we compare using Actionmesh [Sabathier et al. 2026] for 4D reconstruction to per-frame SAM3D [Chen et al. 2025] comreconstruction. We can see that the unreliable 3D surface correspondences between per-frame SAM3D reconstructions significantly lower pose quality (reconstruction errors are marked with red arrows).

*Discussion.* We compare random samples from our pose space to baselines on 6 individuals ( $\text{test}_{cs}$ ) from the cross-species test set (2 from unseen species, and 4 from species shared with the training set), and on 2 individuals ( $\text{test}_{ss}$ ) from the single-species test set. For each individual, our method and each baseline generate 200 poses. Table 1 provides a quantitative comparison and Figure 7 show a qualitative comparison on a few examples. A much larger set of samples is provided in the supplementary webpage. Our samples remain plausible and display more pose diversity than the Any-Top baselines. We reach similar plausibility and diversity as the optimization-based Puppeteer, while only requiring a fraction of Puppeteer’s generation time. On unseen shapes, baselines either collapse to conservative joint configurations or exhibit artifacts (implausible poses) on unseen skeletons (and topology). Supplemental compares between our generated training data versus Puppeteer.

## 4.2 Pose Editing

We use the guidance mechanism (Section 3) as a form of semantically meaningful, automatic inverse kinematics. Users specify sparse joint constraints via drags (e.g., by moving a paw forward or raising the head), and guidance projects these edits back onto the learned manifold. The model therefore corrects the edit into a plausible pose while maintaining asset-specific validity.

*Constrained Edits.* Figure 6 shows single-handle edits. Each example includes the original pose, the edited pose, and the user-specified edit. In practice, the guidance behaves like automatic IK: it satisfies the handle motion but also redistributes motion across the chain in a semantically plausible way (e.g., shoulder and torso adjust when a paw is moved). Further, it imposes additional semantic constraints such as limiting extreme edits to a meaningful extent (e.g., head cannot be dragged too far below the feet). We further demonstrate smooth transitions between edits using our pose-space traversal between the edited poses. This produces coherent transitions between edits that are more plausible than joint-space linear interpolation (see supplementary material).

## 4.3 Controllable Video Generation

Our pose space provides a simple interface for generating keyframes that can steer a video diffusion model. We select keyframes along a pose-space traversal (or between edits), render the corresponding mesh+skeleton proxy, and supply these as conditioning frames, see Figure 1. This enables controllable, semantically aligned video generation: the video model is free to synthesize appearance and texture, while the pose sequence provides precise 3D control. See supplementary material for more examples.

#### 4.4 Data Pipeline Evaluation


We evaluate our data pipeline independently of our feed-forward model by comparing videos reconstructed with our pipeline to videos reconstructed by Puppeteer [Song et al. 2025] in a user study. 20 participants were asked to compare motion plausibility and motion reconstruction accuracy in a two-alternative forced choice test on 6 individuals from the cross-species test set, with 4 randomly selected motions shown for each individual. See Table 2 and qualitative examples in Figure 8. Both show that our pipeline tends to reconstruct motions more accurately, especially when long limbs are involved, which also results in improved motion plausibility. See the supplement for user study details (App. G).

We further analyze our data generation pipeline in Figure 2, Figure 3 and Figure 10. In Figure 2, we compare our reconstructed motions with artist-authored animations from open-source [Deitke et al. 2023] and commercial [Truebones Motions Animation Studios 2020] datasets, showing that our generated motion data achieves quality comparable to artist-authored animations. In Figure 3, we show that our pipeline is not tied to a specific video prior: replacing Wan2.2-TurboDiffusion [THU-ML 2025] with other video priors yields similar plausible motions for the same character and prompt, suggesting that our method can benefit from future advances of video generative models. Please see App. C for details. In Figure 10 (middle and bottom row), we further conduct an ablation study on the effect of using different frames for the initial mesh reconstruction and the difference between our choice of feed-forward 4D construction and per-frame SAM3D reconstruction. Please see App. D for details.

#### 5 Conclusion

We have presented ViPS, a feed-forward framework that breathes life into raw character rigs by distilling video diffusion priors into a universal, semantically-aware pose manifold. By shifting the paradigm from scarce, artist-authored 4D motions to generative distillation, we bypass specialized datasets and move toward a truly species-agnostic foundation for animation. Whether applied to humans, quadrupeds, or mythical creatures, our approach infers plausible articulation directly from 2D data, enabling a single model to encode the diverse biomechanics of any asset. This bridge between unstructured generative priors and structured kinematic control redefines the 3D character as a steerable, differentiable proxy for the next generation of video synthesis.

*Structural and Parameterization Constraints.* While ViPS effectively discovers the latent manifold of an existing rig, it remains *inherently rig-dependent*, inheriting structural flaws like suboptimal bone placement or skinning weights from the input autorig, as illustrated by the chicken example in the inset. A future direction is the joint feed-forward extraction of rig topology and pose space, similar to RigMo [Zhang et al. 2026], or employing redundant representations where the network simultaneously predicts the deformed mesh, Gaussian splats, and skeletal parameters for cross-modal consistency. Performance on non-biological entities or complex insects remains sensitive to the underlying auto-rigs.



*Prior Biases and Initial States.* Our reliance on video diffusion models and 4D extraction introduces an implicit data-bias; for highly specialized or *rare motions* (e.g., specific flight patterns of swif ts), current generative priors may still lack sufficient fidelity. Nevertheless, as shown in Figure 4 of the supplement, our data pipeline can be seamlessly integrated with different video models as priors, suggesting that it may continue to benefit from advances in video generative models. We also acknowledge the *start pose* problem  $M_\alpha$ , where the quality of the learned space is sensitive to the alignment of the initial rest pose relative to the video sequence. Future work could address this by leveraging text-driven motion priors to anchor the pose space, allowing users to drive ‘walks’ or specific gaits through natural language prompts rather than just latent interpolation. See supplemental for comparison on other video priors.

*Scaling Beyond Kinematics.* An immediate evolution is the transition from a pose space to a dynamic *motion space*, explicitly modeling rig-parameter trajectories to capture gait families and long-horizon style modulation. Furthermore, we aim to extend the framework to handle complex deformation regimes, including elastic soft bodies (rubber/cloth), topology-changing phenomena (fracture/fluids), and secondary dynamics (jiggle/inertia).

#### 6 Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by a Roblox Graduate Fellowship to Honglin Chen. We thank Inbar Gat and Sigal Raab for helpful discussions on AnyTop, Remy Sabathier for his help on ActionMesh code, and Zifan Shi for insightful discussions on RigAnything. Their support was invaluable to the development and success of ViPS.

#### References

- Adobe. 2026. Adobe Firefly. Software. <https://firefly.adobe.com> Accessed: 2026-01-18.
- Wei Cao, Chang Luo, Biao Zhang, Matthias Nießner, and Jiapeng Tang. 2024. Motion2VecSets: 4D Latent Vector Set Diffusion for Non-rigid Shape Reconstruction and Tracking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. <https://vveicao.github.io/projects/Motion2VecSets/>
- Xingyu Chen, Fu-Jen Chu, Pierre Gleize, Kevin J Liang, Alexander Sax, Hao Tang, Weiyao Wang, Michelle Guo, Thibaut Hardin, Xiang Li, et al. 2025. Sam 3d: 3dfy anything in images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2511.16624* (2025).
- Matt Deitke, Ruoshi Liu, Matthew Wallingford, Huong Ngo, Oscar Michel, Aditya Kusupati, Alan Fan, Christian Laforte, Vikram Voleti, Samir Yitzhak Gadre, Eli VanderBilt, Aniruddha Kembhavi, Carl Vondrick, Georgia Gkioxari, Kiana Ehsani, Ludwig Schmidt, and Ali Farhadi. 2023. Objaverse-XL: A Universe of 10M+ 3D Objects. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.05663* (2023).
- Yufan Deng, Yuhao Zhang, Chen Geng, Shangzhe Wu, and Jiajun Wu. 2025. Anymate: A Dataset and Baselines for Learning 3D Object Rigging. In *SIGGRAPH*.
- Niladri Shekhar Dutt, Sanjeev Muralikrishnan, and Niloy J. Mitra. 2024. Diffusion 3D Features (Diff3F): Decorating Untextured Shapes with Distilled Semantic Features. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 4494–4504.
- Inbar Gat, Sigal Raab, Guy Tevet, Yuval Reshef, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2025. AnyTop: Character Animation Diffusion with Any Topology. *SIGGRAPH* (2025).
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 33 (2020), 6840–6851.
- Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. 2021. Classifier-Free Diffusion Guidance. In *NeurIPS Workshop on Deep Generative Models and Downstream Applications*.
- Zeren Jiang, Chuanxia Zheng, Iro Laina, Diane Larlus, and Andrea Vedaldi. 2025. Geo4D: Leveraging Video Generators for Geometric 4D Scene Reconstruction. *arXiv:2504.07961* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.07961>
- Ladislav Kavan, Steven Collins, Jiří Zára, and Carol O’Sullivan. 2008. Geometric Skinning with Approximate Dual Quaternion Blending. *SIGGRAPH* (2008), 105:1–105:10. doi:10.1145/1399504.1360717
- Martin Kilian, Niloy J. Mitra, and Helmut Pottmann. 2007. Geometric Modeling in Shape Space. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (SIGGRAPH)* 26, 3 (2007), #64, 1–8.

- Black Forest Labs. 2025. FLUX.2: Frontier Visual Intelligence. <https://bfl.ai/blog/flux-2>.
- Jerome Edward Lengyel. 1999. Compression of time-dependent geometry. In *Proceedings of the 1999 Symposium on Interactive 3D graphics*. 89–95.
- J. P. Lewis, Matt Corder, and Nickson Fong. 2000. Pose space deformation: a unified approach to shape interpolation and skeleton-driven deformation. In *Proceedings of the 27th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*.
- Peizhuo Li, Kfir Aberman, Zihan Zhang, Rana Hanocka, and Olga Sorkine-Hornung. 2022. GANimator: Neural Motion Synthesis from a Single Sequence. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* 41, 4 (2022), 138.
- Ruining Li, Yuxin Yao, Chuanxia Zheng, Christian Rupprecht, Joan Lasenby, Shangzhe Wu, and Andrea Vedaldi. 2025a. Particulate: Feed-Forward 3D Object Articulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2512.11798* (2025).
- Zhibing Li, Mengchen Zhang, Tong Wu, Jing Tan, Jiaqi Wang, and Dahua Lin. 2025b. SS4D: Native 4D Generative Model via Structured Spacetime Latents. *ACM Transactions on Graphics* 44, 6, Article 244 (Dec. 2025), 12 pages. doi:10.1145/3763302
- Yaron Lipman, Daniel Cohen-Or, Ran Gal, and David Levin. 2007. Volume and shape preservation via moving frame manipulation. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 26, 1 (Jan. 2007), 5–es.
- Isabelle Liu, Zhan Xu, Wang Yifan, Hao Tan, Zexiang Xu, Xiaolong Wang, Hao Su, and Zifan Shi. 2025b. Riganything: Rigidity-free autoregressive rigging for diverse 3d assets. *ACM TOG* 44, 4 (2025), 1–12.
- Jiayi Liu, Denys Iliash, Angel X. Chang, Manolis Savva, and Ali Mahdavi-Amiri. 2024. SINGAPO: Single Image Controlled Generation of Articulated Parts in Object. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.16499* (2024).
- Jinfeng Liu, Lingtong Kong, Mi Zhou, Jinwen Chen, and Dan Xu. 2025a. Mono4DGS-HDR: High Dynamic Range 4D Gaussian Splatting from Alternating-exposure Monocular Videos. In *ICLR*. arXiv:2510.18489 [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.18489>
- Junzhe Lu, Jing Lin, Hongkun Dou, Ailing Zeng, Yue Deng, Xian Liu, Zhongang Cai, Lei Yang, Yulun Zhang, Haoqian Wang, and Ziwei Liu. 2025. DPoser-X: Diffusion Model as Robust 3D Whole-body Human Pose Prior. In *ICCV*.
- Zhanpeng Luo, Haoxi Ran, and Li Lu. 2025. Instant4d: 4d gaussian splatting in minutes. *Advances in neural information processing systems* (2025).
- Nadia Magnenat-Thalmann, Richard Laperrrière, and Daniel Thalmann. 1989. Joint-dependent local deformations for hand animation and object grasping. In *Proceedings on Graphics interface'88*. 26–33.
- Antoine Maiorca, Hugo Bohy, Youngwoo Yoon, and Thierry Dutoit. 2023. Objective Evaluation Metric for Motion Generative Models: Validating Fréchet Motion Distance on Foot Skating and Over-smoothing Artifacts. In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGGRAPH Conference on Motion, Interaction and Games*.
- Antoine Maiorca, Youngwoo Yoon, and Thierry Dutoit. 2022. Evaluating the Quality of a Synthesized Motion with the Fréchet Motion Distance. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2022 Posters*.
- Lucas Maystre and Matthias Grossglauser. 2015. Fast and accurate inference of Plackett-Luce models. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).
- Clinton Mo, Kun Hu, Chengjiang Long, Dong Yuan, and Zhiyong Wang. 2024. Motion Keyframe Interpolation for Any Human Skeleton via Temporally Consistent Point Cloud Sampling and Reconstruction. In *Proc. ECCV*. 159–175.
- Linshan Mou, Jiahui Lei, Chen Wang, Lingjie Liu, and Kostas Daniilidis. 2025. DIMO: Diverse 3D Motion Generation for Arbitrary Objects. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Sanjeev Muralikrishnan, Niladri Shekhar Dutt, and Niloy J. Mitra. 2025. SMF: Template-free and Rig-free Animation Transfer using Kinetic Codes. arXiv:2504.04831 [cs.GR] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.04831>
- Ian Noronha, Aneeq Chowdhury, Saru Bharti, and Upinder Kaur. 2025. QuadForecaster: Diffusion-Based Quadruped Pose Prediction for Animal Communication Analysis. In *NeurIPS workshop: AI for non-human animal communication*.
- OpenAI. 2026. Sora 2. Software. <https://openai.com/index/sora-2/> Accessed: 2026-01-18.
- Maxime Oquab, Timothée Darcet, Théo Moutakanni, Huy Vo, Marc Szafraniec, Vasil Khalidov, Pierre Fernandez, Daniel Haziza, Francisco Massa, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, et al. 2024. DINOv2: Learning Robust Visual Features without Supervision. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research Journal* (2024), 1–31.
- Karran Pandey, Yannick Hold-Geoffroy, Mathews Gadelha, Niloy J Mitra, Karan Singh, and Paul Guerrero. 2025. Motion modes: What could happen next?. In *Proceedings of the Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference*. 2030–2039.
- Keunhong Park, Utkarsh Sinha, Peter Hedman, Jonathan T. Barron, Sofien Bouaziz, Dan B Goldman, Ricardo Martin-Brualla, and Steven M. Seitz. 2021. HyperNeRF: a higher-dimensional representation for topologically varying neural radiance fields. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 40, 6, Article 238 (Dec. 2021), 12 pages.
- Albert Pumarola, Enric Corona, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Francesc Moreno-Noguer. 2020. D-NeRF: Neural Radiance Fields for Dynamic Scenes, In *CVPR*. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13961*.
- Xiaowen Qiu, Jincheng Yang, Yian Wang, Zhehuan Chen, Yufei Wang, Tsun-Hsuan Wang, Zhou Xian, and Chuang Gan. 2025. Articulate AnyMesh: Open-vocabulary 3D Articulated Objects Modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.02590* (2025).
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. 2020. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of machine learning research* 21, 140 (2020), 1–67.
- Jiawei Ren, Kevin Xie, Ashkan Mirzaei, Hanxue Liang, Xiaohui Zeng, Karsten Kreis, Ziwei Liu, Antonio Torralba, Sanja Fidler, Seung Wook Kim, and Huan Ling. 2024. L4GM: Large 4D Gaussian Reconstruction Model. *Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst.* (2024). arXiv:2406.10324 [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.10324>
- Runway AI, Inc. 2026. Runway: Building AI to Simulate the World. <https://runwayml.com/> Accessed: 2026-05-11.
- Remy Sabathier, David Novotny, Niloy J. Mitra, and Tom Monnier. 2026. ActionMesh: Animated 3D Mesh Generation with Temporal 3D Diffusion. In *CVPR*.
- Team Seedance. 2026. Seedance 2.0: Advancing Video Generation for World Complexity. arXiv:2604.14148 [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.14148>
- Chaoyue Song, Xiu Li, Fan Yang, Zhongcong Xu, Jiacheng Wei, Fayao Liu, Jiashi Feng, Guosheng Lin, and Jianfeng Zhang. 2025. Puppeteer: Rig and Animate Your 3D Models. In *Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst.*
- Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. Denoising Diffusion Implicit Models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- Robert W Sumner and Jovan Popović. 2004. Deformation transfer for triangle meshes. *ACM Transactions on graphics (TOG)* 23, 3 (2004), 399–405.
- Kling Team. 2025. Kling-Omni Technical Report. arXiv:2512.16776 [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.16776>
- THU-ML. 2025. TurboDiffusion: 100–200× Acceleration for Video Diffusion Models. <https://github.com/thu-ml/TurboDiffusion>. GitHub repository, accessed 2026-01-18.
- Garvita Tiwari, Dimitrije Antic, Jan Eric Lenssen, Nikolaos Sarafianos, Tony Tung, and Gerard Pons-Moll. 2022. Pose-NDF: Modeling Human Pose Manifolds with Neural Distance Fields. In *ECCV*.
- Truebones Motions Animation Studios. 2020. Truebones FBX/BVH Zoo: Over 75 Animals with Animations and Textures. <https://truebones.gumroad.com/l/skZMC>. Accessed: 2026-05-12.
- Team Wan, Ang Wang, Baole Ai, Bin Wen, Chaojie Mao, Chen-Wei Xie, Di Chen, Fei Wu, Haiming Zhao, Jianxiao Yang, Jianyuan Zeng, Jiayu Wang, Jingfeng Zhang, Jingren Zhou, Jinkai Wang, Jixuan Chen, Kai Zhu, Kang Zhao, Keyu Yan, Lianghua Huang, Mengyang Feng, Ningyi Zhang, Pandeng Li, Pingyu Wu, Ruihang Chu, Ruili Feng, Shiwei Zhang, Siyang Sun, Tao Fang, Tianxing Wang, Tianyi Gui, Tingyu Weng, Tong Shen, Wei Lin, Wei Wang, Wei Wang, Wenmeng Zhou, Wentao Wang, Wenting Shen, Wenyuan Yu, Xianzhong Shi, Xiaoming Huang, Xin Xu, Yan Kou, Yangyu Lv, Yifei Li, Yijing Liu, Yiming Wang, Yingya Zhang, Yitong Huang, Yong Li, You Wu, Yu Liu, Yulin Pan, Yun Zheng, Yuntao Hong, Yupeng Shi, Yutong Feng, Zeyinzi Jiang, Zhen Han, Zhi-Fan Wu, and Ziyu Liu. 2025. Wan: Open and Advanced Large-Scale Video Generative Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.20314* (2025).
- Weiyun Wang, Zhangwei Gao, Lixin Gu, Hengjun Pu, Long Cui, Xingguang Wei, Zhaoyang Liu, Linglin Jing, Shenglong Ye, Jie Shao, et al. 2025. InternVL3.5: Advancing Open-Source Multimodal Models in Versatility, Reasoning, and Efficiency. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2508.18265* (2025).
- Yijia Wang, Bowen Wen, Jonathan Tremblay, Valts Blukis, Dieter Fox, Leonidas Guibas, and Stan Birchfield. 2024. Neural Implicit Representation for Building Digital Twins of Unknown Articulated Objects. In *CVPR*.
- Thaddäus Wiedemer, Yuxuan Li, Paul Vicol, Shixiang Shane Gu, Nick Matarese, Kevin Swersky, Been Kim, Priyank Jaini, and Robert Geirhos. 2025. Video models are zero-shot learners and reasoners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.20328* (2025).
- Diankun Wu, Fangfu Liu, Yi-Hsin Hung, Yue Qian, Xiaohang Zhan, and Yueqi Duan. 2025. 4D-Fly: Fast 4D Reconstruction from a Single Monocular Video. In *Proc. CVPR*. 16663–16673. doi:10.1109/CVPR52734.2025.01553
- Zhen Xu, Zhengqin Li, Zhao Dong, Xiaowei Zhou, Richard Newcombe, and Zhaoyang Lv. 2025. 4DGT: Learning a 4D Gaussian Transformer Using Real-World Monocular Videos. In *Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst.*
- Zhan Xu, Yang Zhou, Evangelos Kalogerakis, Chris Landreth, and Karan Singh. 2020. RigNet: neural rigging for articulated characters. *ACM TOG* 39, 4 (2020), 58–1.
- Zhuoyi Yang, Jiayan Teng, Wendi Zheng, Ming Ding, Shiyu Huang, Jiazheng Xu, Yuanming Yang, Wenyi Hong, Xiaohan Zhang, Guanyu Feng, et al. 2024. Cogvideox: Text-to-video diffusion models with an expert transformer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.06072* (2024).
- Zijie Ye, Jia-Wei Liu, Jia Jia, Shikun Sun, and Mike Zheng Shou. 2024. Skinned Motion Retargeting with Dense Geometric Interaction Perception. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (2024).
- Jiraphon Yenphraphai, Ashkan Mirzaei, Jianqi Chen, Jiayu Zou, Sergey Tulyakov, Raymond A. Yeh, Peter Wonka, and Chaoyang Wang. 2025. ShapeGen4D: Towards High Quality 4D Shape Generation from Videos. *arXiv preprint* (2025).
- Yizhou Yu, Kun Zhou, Dong Xu, Xiaohan Shi, Hujun Bao, Baining Guo, and Heung-Yeung Shum. 2004. Mesh editing with poisson-based gradient field manipulation. In *ACM TOG*. 644–651.
- Bowen Zhang, Sicheng Xu, Chuxin Wang, Jiaolong Yang, Feng Zhao, Dong Chen, and Baining Guo. 2025c. Gaussian Variation Field Diffusion for High-fidelity Video-to-4D Synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer*

- Vision (ICCV)*. 12502–12513.
- Hao Zhang, Di Chang, Fang Li, Mohammad Soleymani, and Narendra Ahuja. 2025a. MagicPose4D: Crafting Articulated Models with Appearance and Motion Control. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research* 2025-June (2025). <https://openreview.net/forum?id=qgHq1NFUJk>
- Hao Zhang, Fang Li, Samyak Rawlekar, and Narendra Ahuja. 2024b. S3O: A Dual-Phase Approach for Reconstructing Dynamic Shape and Skeleton of Articulated Objects from Single Monocular Video. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 235)*, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Zico Kolter, Katherine Heller, Adrian Weller, Nuria Oliver, Jonathan Scarlett, and Felix Berkenkamp (Eds.). PMLR, 59191–59209. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/zhang24ae.html>
- Hao Zhang, Jiahao Luo, Bohui Wan, Yizhou Zhao, Zongrui Li, Michael Vasilkovsky, Chaoyang Wang, Jian Wang, Narendra Ahuja, and Bing Zhou. 2026. RigMo: Unifying Rig and Motion Learning for Generative Animation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.06378* (2026).
- Hao Zhang, Haolan Xu, Chun Feng, Varun Jampani, and Narendra Ahuja. 2025b. Phys-Rig: Differentiable Physics-Based Skinning and Rigging Framework for Realistic Articulated Object Modeling. In *ICCV*.
- Jiaxu Zhang, Shaoli Huang, Zhigang Tu, Xin Chen, Xiaohang Zhan, Gang Yu, and Ying Shan. 2024a. TapMo: Shape-aware Motion Generation of Skeleton-free Characters. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- Jiaxu Zhang, Junwu Weng, Di Kang, Fang Zhao, Shaoli Huang, Xuefei Zhe, Linchao Bao, Ying Shan, Jue Wang, and Zhigang Tu. 2023. Skinned Motion Retargeting with Residual Perception of Motion Semantics & Geometry. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 13864–13872.
- Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. 2022. MotionDiffuse: Text-Driven Human Motion Generation with Diffusion Model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.15001* (2022).

## A Overview

In this supplementary material, we evaluate our feed-forward model separately from the data pipeline in Section B, and the data pipeline separately from the feed-forward model in Section C. Additionally, we evaluate different choices in our method with an ablation in Section D. In Sections E and F we provide additional details about the dataset we generated with our pipeline, and about the data pipeline itself, respectively, and Section G provides additional detail about the user study.

The included supplementary website provides additional examples of applying ViPS to create keyframes for video generation, and shows several examples of walks through the pose space. Additionally, examples of videos and images from the data generation pipeline are provided, as well as additional qualitative comparisons.

## B Model Comparison

To evaluate our feed-forward model independently of the data, we compare it to a version of AnyTop-pose that we re-trained on our data and call *AnyTop-pose-retrained*. Unlike AnyTop, our feed-forward model does not require node statistics or node labels as input, due to our use of per-node semantic features that are automatically computed from the rigged input mesh (see Section 3.2 of the main paper). During the training of *AnyTop-pose-retrained*, as meaningful node labels (e.g., “head”, “neck”, “hips”) are not available in our data, we use all zeros as node embeddings and the ground truth node statistics from the training data. As node statistics and node labels are not available at inference time in practice, we use the same “best-effort” approximation as in Table 3 for AnyTop-pose-retrained, using all zeros as node embeddings, the rest pose as mean, and VLM-derived statistics from the closest available species. We also include an additional variant of the baseline that we provide with ground truth node statistics as input, and that we call *AnyTop-pose-retrained (oracle)* to emphasize that this ground truth input data is not available for inference in practice. Similar to our approach, we directly use the output of AnyTop, without additional regularization through inverse kinematics. Unlike in the Table 3 comparison, we do have ground truth in this comparison, therefore we compute the Frechet Skeleton Distance (FSD) relative to the ground truth. Additionally, we compute an overfitting measure based on the nearest-neighbor (NN) distances to the dataset samples that we denote as  $O_{NN}$ . Specifically, we use 200 generated poses from each method and from the ground truth, and compute the ratio of the average ground truth intra-set NN distances (from each ground truth pose to the closest other ground truth pose) to the average inter-set NN distances (from each generated pose to the closest ground truth pose). Intuitively, inter-set distances that are smaller than ground truth intra-set distances indicate overfitting, as generated samples tend to be closer to the ground truth samples than other ground truth samples. Values  $> 1$  indicate overfitting, while values  $\leq 1$  indicate no overfitting, with very small values indicating misalignment between the distributions. A quantitative comparison is shown in Table 3 and a qualitative comparison in Figure 11. We see that our model improves upon the best-effort version of AnyTop-pose-retrained and even slightly outperforms

Table 3. **Comparison of Feed-Forward Models on Pose Plausibility and Diversity.** We compare our feed-forward model to two version of AnyTop trained on the same data as our model. One version uses the same best-effort approximation of the input we use in Table 3, the other (oracle) uses inputs derived from the ground truth, and is thus not usable in practice, but uses the same type of input as in the original AnyTop paper [Gat et al. 2025]. We measure distribution similarity (FSD) to the ground truth distribution, and overfitting using a nearest-neighbor-based metric ( $O_{NN}$ ). ViPS performs significantly better than the best-effort version of AnyTop-pose and even slightly outperforms the oracle version, without requiring ground truth data as input.

|                      | FSD↓        | $O_{NN}$ (no overfitting if $\leq 1$ ) |
|----------------------|-------------|--|
| AnyTop-pose          | 2.00        | <b>0.09</b>                            |
| AnyTop-pose (oracle) | 0.43        | <b>0.22</b>                            |
| <b>ViPS (ours)</b>   | <b>0.31</b> | <b>0.29</b>                            |

the oracle version, even though it does not require ground truth node labels or node statistics as input.

We additionally visualize a 2D embedding of pose distributions of our method compared to baselines and the ground truth for several individuals in Figure 12. We use the concatenated node positions as feature vectors and show the first two principal components of the distributions as x- and y axes. The plots confirm that our generated pose distributions better match the ground truth than the baselines.

## C Data Comparison

We compare our data pipeline to Puppeteer independently of the feed-forward model, we compare poses of our generated data and data generated by Puppeteer. Unlike Puppeteer, we do not attempt to explicitly track object points across the video when optimizing our poses to match the video frames, as this has proven to be brittle in earlier experiments. Instead, we rely on the 4D reconstructions from ActionMesh [Sabathier et al. 2026], which have proven to be significantly more robust. Several examples are compared in Figure 8. Our 4D-reconstruction-based approach tends to reconstruct poses more accurately than Puppeteer’s tracking-based approach.

We further analyze the flexibility and motivation of our data generation pipeline in Figures 3 and 2. Figure 3 shows that our pipeline is not tied to a specific video model: by replacing our default Wan2.2-TurboDiffusion [THU-ML 2025] prior with alternative video generation models such as Kling [Team 2025], Runway [Runway AI, Inc. 2026], and SeedDance [Seedance 2026], we can obtain different plausible motion priors for the same input character and prompt. This suggests that our pipeline can naturally benefit from future improvements in video generation models. In Figure 2, we compare our reconstructed motions with artist-authored animations from both open-source [Deitke et al. 2023] and commercial sources [Truebones Motions Animation Studios 2020]. While artist-authored data can provide high-quality motions, its quality and coverage vary substantially depending on the dataset source, asset origin, and animator effort. In contrast, our generated data pipeline provides a scalable way to produce diverse object-specific motions, reducing the dependence on expensive or sparsely available manually authored animation clips.




Fig. 11. **Model comparison.** We evaluate our feed-forward model independently of the data pipeline by comparing poses generated by our method to poses generated by two variants of AnyTop-pose that both have been re-trained on the same data as our model. The *oracle* variant receives ground truth node statistics and node labels as input that would not be available at inference time in practice and the other variant receives a “best-effort” approximation from data that could realistically be available at inference time. Even though our model does not require node statistics or node labels as input, it performs slightly better than the oracle variant, and significantly outperforms the best-effort variant.

## D Ablation

In this ablation, we examine the effect of three choices in our data pipeline and feed-forward model. First, we show the effect of using different rigs generated by RigAnything for the same individual. Figure 10 (top) shows several examples. We can see that the exact rig topology does not strongly affect the rig plausibility or diversity, as rigs typically have sufficient degrees of freedom to accurately capture the pose distribution of a given individual, and our feed-forward model has been trained with a diverse enough set of rigs to generalize to diverse rig topologies. Second, we show the effect of choosing different frames  $\alpha$  for the rigged mesh  $M_\alpha$  in Figure 10 (middle). This choice is important if the 3D shape reconstructed

for different frames of the video have different topologies, such as the example we can see here of a duck with open and closed wings. Choosing a frame  $\alpha$  with closed wings results in a mesh  $M_\alpha$  that does not support opening the wings. Finally, we compare our data pipeline to a version where we apply SAM3D [Chen et al. 2025] in each frame to get per-frame mesh reconstructions instead of using Actionmesh [Sabathier et al. 2026], and use the chamfer distance between surface samples in adjacent frames to establish correspondence. Figure 10 (bottom) shows examples where we can clearly see that a lack of reliable correspondence between 3D surface points makes pose optimization more difficult and less reliable, resulting in lower-quality poses. Specifically the legs of the horse




Fig. 12. **Sample distributions.** We visualize pose distributions using the two first principal components of the concatenated skeleton node positions. We compare our poses (blue) and the two baselines (yellow and red) to the ground truth distribution (green) for several different individuals. Our distribution follows the ground truth distribution more closely than both of the baselines.




Fig. 13. **User study screenshots.** We compare pose distributions for a given individual with two-alternative forced choice tests. Left: the main user study interface, where we show 15 poses of each method in grids on the left and right side, with the input individual in its rest pose in the center. Right: the data pipeline user study interface, where we display 4 set of input video and the corresponding reconstructed pose video for each of the two methods on the left and right-hand side.

are hard to track for a 2D tracker, due to their thin structure and frequent occlusions.

### E Data Details

The full set of species we used in our cross-species training set is listed here:

- Bipeds: 11 species (Cassowary, Chicken, Chimpanzee, Crane, Flamingo, Gorilla, Kangaroo, Ostrich, Penguin, Raptor, Trex)

- Fantasy: 10 species (Dragon, Fairy, Goblin, Griffin, Mermaid, Pegasus, Phoenix, Unicorn, Werewolf, Zombie)
- Fish: 7 species (Dolphin, Eel, Generic Fish, Sea Lion, Shark, Stingray, Whale)
- Flying: 9 species (Bat, Bird, Eagle, Goose, Owl, Parrot, Pigeon, Pteranodon, Seagull)

- Humans: 12 “species” (Alien, Angel, Astronaut, Baby, Child, Demon, Elder, Giant, Generic Human, Robot, Superhero, Wizard)
- Quadrupeds: 52 species (Anteater, Armadillo, Badger, Beaver, Bison, Camel, Capybara, Cat, Cheetah, Chinchilla, Cow, Crocodile, Deer, Dog, Donkey, Elephant, Fox, Frog, Gazelle, Giraffe, Goat, Hedgehog, Hippopotamus, Horse, Hyena, Koala, Komodo dragon, Leopard, Lion, Lizard, Llama, Lynx, Meerkat, Monkey, Moose, Mouse, Okapi, Otter, Pangolin, Pig, Porcupine, Raccoon, Rhinoceros, Sheep, Skunk, Squirrel, Tiger, Turtle, Wild boar, Wolf, Wombat, Zebra)

for a total of 101 species. As described in Section 4.1 of the main paper, for each species, we generate two images with Flux, corresponding to two different individuals of the species, and 20 videos for each individual, corresponding to 20 different motions that we sample poses from. Examples of prompts, generated images, generated videos, and reconstructed poses from our data pipeline are provided in the included webpage. The cross-species test set includes one new individual each from two unseen species (Mink, Batman) and one new individual each from four seen species (Alien, Camel, Eagle, Giraffe).

## F Additional Data Pipeline Details

### F.1 Image Prompt Generation with InternVL

We instruct InternVL [Wang et al. 2025] to create a diverse set of individuals for a given species with the following instruction:

```
You are a prompt engineer for a text-to-image model.

Goal: Write ONE high-quality prompt per image for the given object/
species name (about 30-50 words), with a strong emphasis on
FULL-BODY / FULL-OBJECT visibility.

Hard requirements (MUST follow):
1) EXACT SUBJECT: Use the provided object/species name as the
subject; do NOT change it to a different species/object.
2) FULL-BODY / FULL-OBJECT (CRITICAL): The ENTIRE subject must be
visible from end to end (e.g., head-to-toe / nose-to-tail /
top-to-bottom). Absolutely NO cropping, NO cut-off limbs/ears
/tail, NO partial framing. Keep the subject centered with
generous margins.
3) WIDE SHOT (CRITICAL): Use a wide shot with the camera pulled
back enough to guarantee the whole subject fits comfortably
in frame, with extra space around it.
4) BACKGROUND: Solid pure white studio background. NO ground plane,
NO visible floor line, NO horizon.
5) LIGHTING: Even, soft, shadowless studio lighting. Avoid harsh
cast shadows; keep reflections minimal.
6) NO NEW ELEMENTS: Do NOT introduce any other objects, humans,
animals, props, text, logos, watermarks, scenery, or clutter.
7) Use side or front three-quarter viewpoint; AVOID direct front or
rear views.

Diversity requirements (across prompts for the same subject):
- Vary viewpoint (side/front three-quarter), slight tilt angle (
especially for animals), and pose/orientation while ALWAYS
keeping full-body / full-object visibility. No front or rear
views.
- Vary plausible appearance details while staying true to the
subject:
  - Animals: coat color/pattern, age (adult/juvenile), body build,
ear/tail position, head direction.
  - Rigid objects: material (metal/plastic/wood/glass), color,
finish (matte/gloss), wear level (new/used).
  - Articulated objects: configuration (folded/unfolded), steering
angle, arm joint angles, etc.
- Keep the style consistent: photorealistic / realistic 3D render
with physically based materials and high detail.

Output format:
- Output ONLY the final prompt line.
- No quotes, no numbering, no bullet points, no extra commentary.
```

```
Subject name: <...>
Category: <...>
Object type:: <...>
Generate <N> diverse prompts for this subject.
Return each prompt on its own line.
```

### F.2 Motion Prompt Generation with InternVL

To generate motion prompts, i.e. prompts for generating a video that starts with a previously generated image, we use the following instruction for InternVL [Wang et al. 2025]:

```
You are a prompt engineer. You will be given:
- an object appearance description (EXACT; do not change it),
- a target motion (EXACT; must be used),
- a camera constraint (EXACT; must be used).

Write a single high-quality video generation prompt.

Hard requirements (MUST follow):
1. **Use the Provided Motion EXACTLY:** The prompt MUST describe
the target motion faithfully. Do NOT replace it with a
different motion. Do NOT add extra actions beyond what is
stated.
2. **Keep Appearance CONSISTENT:** Use the provided appearance
description as-is. Do NOT invent new colors, accessories,
markings, clothing, species, or extra objects.
3. **NO NEW ELEMENTS (VERY STRICT):** Do NOT introduce any new
objects, humans, animals, props, accessories, text, logos,
extra scenery items, or additional entities not explicitly
present in the provided appearance description. If something
is not mentioned in the appearance, it must not appear in the
prompt.
4. **Background & Lighting:** Keep the background simple and stable
, consistent with the provided appearance. No new items in
the scene.
5. **Camera Behavior:** STRICTLY STATIC FULL BODY SHOT. Tripod-
locked. The entire object visible at all times. **Single shot
, no transitions, no cuts.** NO camera movement. Avoid words
like "pan", "zoom", "track", "dolly", "close-up", "follow", "
cut", "scene change", "transition", "montage".
6. **Natural Physics:** Motion should have realistic weight and
timing appropriate to the object.
7. **Style Default:** If no style is specified, default to "
Photorealistic, 4k, high fidelity."
8. **Length:** 60-90 words.

Output ONLY the final prompt. No quotes. No bullet points. No extra
commentary.

Object (appearance, keep exact): <appearance_text>
Target Motion (must use exact): <motion_text>
Camera Constraint (must use exact): Static Full Body Shot (Tripod
View).
The entire object must be visible. NO CAMERA MOVEMENT.
NO NEW ELEMENTS: Do not introduce any new objects, humans, or
animals not present in the provided appearance description.
```

To obtain the object appearance, to be used as appearance\_text, we ask InternVL to describe the object in the image:

```
Describe the object's appearance and the background in 10-20 words.
Be concrete: species/type, main colors, textures/materials,
distinctive features, and the background setting. Do NOT
describe motion.
Do NOT introduce ANY new objects/humans/animals that are not
visible.
```

And to obtain a description of an object motion, to be used in the motion\_text, we use the following instruction:

```
List <N> motion names for a <species_name> using Title Case labels
like game animation clips (examples: Walk; Run; Attack; Get
Up; Bark; Jump; Lower Head; Scratch; Howl; Die).
Each item must be a SHORT label (2-4 words max, no punctuation, and
the whole list should be pose-diverse: each label should
correspond to a clearly different full-body pose.
Emphasize species-specific, full-body motions with clear pose
changes.
```

Avoid trivial micro-actions (chewing, blinking, swallowing, ear flicks, lip licking, open/close beak), tail-only loops (tail wagging/swishing/flicking), and static/near-static actions (standing still, idling with no clear pose change). Include a mix of idles/loops and one-off actions (e.g., Idle variants, Walk/Run loops, Jump, Fall, Get Up, attacks/defense). Separate them with semicolons. Do not include camera directions. Use only English. Output ONLY the <N> motion names. No quotes. No bullet points. No extra commentary.

### F.3 Pose Filtering and Rig Filtering

In rare cases, the 4D reconstruction with ActionMesh [Sabathier et al. 2026] in our data generation pipeline can produce nearly static 4D reconstructions when it fails to recover meaningful motion. To handle these cases, we introduce a filter that measures the root-mean-square (RMS) joint displacement of each frame relative to the first frame, normalized by the mesh bounding-box diagonal. We mark a clip as static and exclude it if at least 90% of frames have displacement smaller than a threshold (we pick 0.0015). We filter out 0.71% of the clips in the dataset.

Additionally, RigAnything [Liu et al. 2025b] may occasionally produce rigs with artifacts, resulting in suboptimal degrees of freedom for capturing deformed poses during reconstruction or feed-forward prediction. To mitigate this issue, we filter generated rigs using mesh-containment and topology constraints. Specifically, for each bone, we sample points along the parent-child segment and mark the bone as invalid if either the fraction of its length lying outside the mesh is at least 50% or its maximum distance from the mesh surface exceeds a threshold (we choose 0.1). A rig is accepted only if it contains no invalid bones and its parent graph is acyclic. For each mesh, we evaluate up to 10 random seeds and keep the first rig that satisfies these criteria; if none do, we retain the best-scoring candidate among all attempts for robustness.

## G Additional User Study Details

In the first user study, we compare poses distributions with two-alternative forced choice tests, comparing two sets of randomly sampled poses for the same individual. We display 15 poses for each of the two methods in  $3 \times 5$  grids on the left and right-hand side of the comparison, and the rigged input mesh is shown in the center. An example of a comparison is shown in Figure 13 (left). In the second user study, we compare motion reconstruction quality from our data pipeline and Puppoteer with two-alternative forced choice tests, comparing two sets of randomly sampled motions for the same individual. We display 4 set of input video and the corresponding reconstructed pose video for each of the two methods in  $4 \times 2$  grids on the left and right-hand side of the comparison. An example of a comparison is shown in Figure 13 (right). Participants for both studies were university students and vision/graphics researchers.

## References

- Adobe. 2026. Adobe Firefly. Software. <https://firefly.adobe.com> Accessed: 2026-01-18.
- Wei Cao, Chang Luo, Biao Zhang, Matthias Nießner, and Jiapeng Tang. 2024. Motion2VecSets: 4D Latent Vector Set Diffusion for Non-rigid Shape Reconstruction and Tracking. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. <https://vveicao.github.io/projects/Motion2VecSets/>
- Xingyu Chen, Fu-Jen Chu, Pierre Gleize, Kevin J Liang, Alexander Sax, Hao Tang, Weiyao Wang, Michelle Guo, Thibaut Hardin, Xiang Li, et al. 2025. Sam 3d: 3dfy anything in images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2511.16624* (2025).
- Matt Deitke, Ruoshi Liu, Matthew Wallingford, Huong Ngo, Oscar Michel, Aditya Kusupati, Alan Fan, Christian Laforte, Vikram Voleti, Samir Yitzhak Gadre, Eli VanderBilt, Aniruddha Kembhavi, Carl Vondrick, Georgia Gkioxari, Kiana Ehsani, Ludwig Schmidt, and Ali Farhadi. 2023. Objaverse-XL: A Universe of 10M+ 3D Objects. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.05663* (2023).
- Yufan Deng, Yuhao Zhang, Chen Geng, Shangzhe Wu, and Jiajun Wu. 2025. Animate: A Dataset and Baselines for Learning 3D Object Rigging. In *SIGGRAPH*.
- Niladri Shekhar Dutt, Sanjeev Muralikrishnan, and Niloy J. Mitra. 2024. Diffusion 3D Features (Diff3F): Decorating Untextured Shapes with Distilled Semantic Features. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. 4494–4504.
- Inbar Gat, Sigal Raab, Guy Tevet, Yuval Reshef, Amit H Bermano, and Daniel Cohen-Or. 2025. AnyTop: Character Animation Diffusion with Any Topology. *SIGGRAPH* (2025).
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 33 (2020), 6840–6851.
- Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. 2021. Classifier-Free Diffusion Guidance. In *NeurIPS Workshop on Deep Generative Models and Downstream Applications*.
- Zeren Jiang, Chuanxia Zheng, Iro Laina, Diane Larlus, and Andrea Vedaldi. 2025. Geo4D: Leveraging Video Generators for Geometric 4D Scene Reconstruction. *arXiv:2504.07961* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.07961>
- Ladislav Kavan, Steven Collins, Jiří Zára, and Carol O’Sullivan. 2008. Geometric Skinning with Approximate Dual Quaternion Blending. *SIGGRAPH* (2008), 105:1–105:10. [doi:10.1145/1399504.1360717](https://doi.org/10.1145/1399504.1360717)
- Martin Kilian, Niloy J. Mitra, and Helmut Pottmann. 2007. Geometric Modeling in Shape Space. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (SIGGRAPH)* 26, 3 (2007), #64, 1–8.
- Black Forest Labs. 2025. FLUX.2: Frontier Visual Intelligence. <https://bfl.ai/blog/flux-2>.
- Jerome Edward Lengyel. 1999. Compression of time-dependent geometry. In *Proceedings of the 1999 symposium on Interactive 3D graphics*. 89–95.
- J. P. Lewis, Matt Corder, and Nickson Fong. 2000. Pose space deformation: a unified approach to shape interpolation and skeleton-driven deformation. In *Proceedings of the 27th Annual Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques*.
- Peizhuo Li, Kfir Aberman, Zihan Zhang, Rana Hanocka, and Olga Sorkine-Hornung. 2022. GANimator: Neural Motion Synthesis from a Single Sequence. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* 41, 4 (2022), 138.
- Ruining Li, Yuxin Yao, Chuanxia Zheng, Christian Rupprecht, Joan Lasenby, Shangzhe Wu, and Andrea Vedaldi. 2025a. Particulate: Feed-Forward 3D Object Articulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2512.11798* (2025).
- Zhibing Li, Mengchen Zhang, Tong Wu, Jing Tan, Jiaqi Wang, and Dahua Lin. 2025b. SS4D: Native 4D Generative Model via Structured Spacetime Latents. *ACM Transactions on Graphics* 44, 6, Article 244 (Dec. 2025), 12 pages. [doi:10.1145/3763302](https://doi.org/10.1145/3763302)
- Yaron Lipman, Daniel Cohen-Or, Ran Gal, and David Levin. 2007. Volume and shape preservation via moving frame manipulation. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 26, 1 (Jan. 2007), 5–es.
- Isabella Liu, Zhan Xu, Wang Yifan, Hao Tan, Zexiang Xu, Xiaolong Wang, Hao Su, and Zifan Shi. 2025b. Riganything: Template-free autoregressive rigging for diverse 3d assets. *ACM TOG* 44, 4 (2025), 1–12.
- Jiayi Liu, Denys Iliash, Angel X. Chang, Manolis Savva, and Ali Mahdavi-Amiri. 2024. SINGAPO: Single Image Controlled Generation of Articulated Parts in Object. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.16499* (2024).
- Jinfeng Liu, Lingtong Kong, Mi Zhou, Jinwen Chen, and Dan Xu. 2025a. Mono4DGS-HDR: High Dynamic Range 4D Gaussian Splatting from Alternating-exposure Monocular Videos. In *ICLR*. *arXiv:2510.18489* [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.18489>
- Junzhe Lu, Jing Lin, Hongkun Dou, Ailing Zeng, Yue Deng, Xian Liu, Zhongang Cai, Lei Yang, Yulun Zhang, Haoqian Wang, and Ziwei Liu. 2025. DPoser-X: Diffusion Model as Robust 3D Whole-body Human Pose Prior. In *ICCV*.
- Zhanpeng Luo, Haoxi Ran, and Li Lu. 2025. Instant4d: 4d gaussian splatting in minutes. *Advances in neural information processing systems* (2025).
- Nadia Magnenat-Thalmann, Richard Laperrière, and Daniel Thalmann. 1989. Joint-dependent local deformations for hand animation and object grasping. In *Proceedings on Graphics interface '88*. 26–33.
- Antoine Maiorca, Hugo Bohy, Youngwoo Yoon, and Thierry Dutoit. 2023. Objective Evaluation Metric for Motion Generative Models: Validating Fréchet Motion Distance on Foot Skating and Over-smoothing Artifacts. In *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGGRAPH Conference on Motion, Interaction and Games*.
- Antoine Maiorca, Youngwoo Yoon, and Thierry Dutoit. 2022. Evaluating the Quality of a Synthesized Motion with the Fréchet Motion Distance. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2022 Posters*.
- Lucas Maystre and Matthias Grossglauser. 2015. Fast and accurate inference of Plackett-Luce models. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 28 (2015).
- Clinton Mo, Kun Hu, Chengjiang Long, Dong Yuan, and Zhiyong Wang. 2024. Motion Keyframe Interpolation for Any Human Skeleton via Temporally Consistent Point Cloud Sampling and Reconstruction. In *Proc. ECCV*. 159–175.
- Linzhan Mou, Jiahui Lei, Chen Wang, Lingjie Liu, and Kostas Daniilidis. 2025. DIMO: Diverse 3D Motion Generation for Arbitrary Objects. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF*

- International Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Sanjeev Muralikrishnan, Niladri Shekhar Dutt, and Niloy J. Mitra. 2025. SMF: Template-free and Rig-free Animation Transfer using Kinetic Codes. arXiv:2504.04831 [cs.GR] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.04831>
- Ian Noronha, Aneeq Chowdhury, Saru Bharti, and Upinder Kaur. 2025. QuadForecaster: Diffusion-Based Quadruped Pose Prediction for Animal Communication Analysis. In *NeurIPS workshop: AI for non-human animal communication*.
- OpenAI. 2026. Sora 2. Software. <https://openai.com/index/sora-2/> Accessed: 2026-01-18.
- Maxime Oquab, Timothée Darcet, Théo Moutakanni, Huy Vo, Marc Szafraniec, Vasil Khalidov, Pierre Fernandez, Daniel Haziza, Francisco Massa, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, et al. 2024. DINOv2: Learning Robust Visual Features without Supervision. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research Journal* (2024), 1–31.
- Karran Pandey, Yannick Hold-Geoffroy, Matheus Gadelha, Niloy J Mitra, Karan Singh, and Paul Guerrero. 2025. Motion modes: What could happen next?. In *Proceedings of the Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Conference*. 2030–2039.
- Keunhong Park, Utkarsh Sinha, Peter Hedman, Jonathan T. Barron, Sofien Bouaziz, Dan B Goldman, Ricardo Martin-Brualla, and Steven M. Seitz. 2021. HyperNeRF: a higher-dimensional representation for topologically varying neural radiance fields. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 40, 6, Article 238 (Dec. 2021), 12 pages.
- Albert Pumarola, Enric Corona, Gerard Pons-Moll, and Francesc Moreno-Noguer. 2020. D-NeRF: Neural Radiance Fields for Dynamic Scenes, In *CVPR*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.13961.
- Xiaowen Qiu, Jincheng Yang, Yian Wang, Zhehuan Chen, Yufei Wang, Tsun-Hsuan Wang, Zhou Xian, and Chuang Gan. 2025. Articulate AnyMesh: Open-vocabulary 3D Articulated Objects Modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2502.02590 (2025).
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. 2020. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *Journal of machine learning research* 21, 140 (2020), 1–67.
- Jiawei Ren, Kevin Xie, Ashkan Mirzaei, Hanxue Liang, Xiaohui Zeng, Karsten Kreis, Ziwei Liu, Antonio Torralba, Sanja Fidler, Seung Wook Kim, and Huan Ling. 2024. L4GM: Large 4D Gaussian Reconstruction Model. *Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst.* (2024). arXiv:2406.10324 [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.10324>
- Runway AI, Inc. 2026. Runway: Building AI to Simulate the World. <https://runwayml.com/> Accessed: 2026-05-11.
- Remy Sabathier, David Novotny, Niloy J. Mitra, and Tom Monnier. 2026. ActionMesh: Animated 3D Mesh Generation with Temporal 3D Diffusion. In *CVPR*.
- Team Seedance. 2026. Seedance 2.0: Advancing Video Generation for World Complexity. arXiv:2604.14148 [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2604.14148>
- Chaoyue Song, Xiu Li, Fan Yang, Zhongcong Xu, Jiacheng Wei, Fayao Liu, Jiashi Feng, Guosheng Lin, and Jianfeng Zhang. 2025. Puppeteer: Rig and Animate Your 3D Models. In *Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst.*
- Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. Denoising Diffusion Implicit Models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- Robert W Sumner and Jovan Popović. 2004. Deformation transfer for triangle meshes. *ACM Transactions on graphics (TOG)* 23, 3 (2004), 399–405.
- Kling Team. 2025. Kling-Omni Technical Report. arXiv:2512.16776 [cs.CV] <https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.16776>
- THU-ML. 2025. TurboDiffusion: 100–200× Acceleration for Video Diffusion Models. <https://github.com/thu-ml/TurboDiffusion>. GitHub repository, accessed 2026-01-18.
- Garvita Tiwari, Dimitrije Antic, Jan Eric Lenssen, Nikolaos Sarafianos, Tony Tung, and Gerard Pons-Moll. 2022. Pose-NDF: Modeling Human Pose Manifolds with Neural Distance Fields. In *ECCV*.
- Truebones Motions Animation Studios. 2020. Truebones FBX/BVH Zoo: Over 75 Animals with Animations and Textures. <https://truebones.gumroad.com/l/skZMC>. Accessed: 2026-05-12.
- Team Wan, Ang Wang, Baole Ai, Bin Wen, Chaojie Mao, Chen-Wei Xie, Di Chen, Fei Yu, Haiming Zhao, Jianxiao Yang, Jianyuan Zeng, Jiayu Wang, Jingfeng Zhang, Jingren Zhou, Jinkai Wang, Jixuan Chen, Kai Zhu, Kang Zhao, Keyu Yan, Lianghua Huang, Mengyang Feng, Ningyi Zhang, Pandeng Li, Pingyu Wu, Ruihang Chu, Ruili Feng, Shiwei Zhang, Siyang Sun, Tao Fang, Tianxing Wang, Tianyi Gui, Tingyu Weng, Tong Shen, Wei Lin, Wei Wang, Wei Wang, Wenmeng Zhou, Wenten Wang, Wenting Shen, Wenyuan Yu, Xianzhong Shi, Xiaoming Huang, Xin Xu, Yan Kou, Yangyu Lv, Yifei Li, Yijing Liu, Yiming Wang, Yingya Zhang, Yitong Huang, Yong Li, You Wu, Yu Liu, Yulin Pan, Yun Zheng, Yuntao Hong, Yupeng Shi, Yutong Feng, Zeyinzi Jiang, Zhen Han, Zhi-Fan Wu, and Ziyu Liu. 2025. Wan: Open and Advanced Large-Scale Video Generative Models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.20314 (2025).
- Wei Yun Wang, Zhangwei Gao, Lixin Gu, Hengjun Pu, Long Cui, Xingguang Wei, Zhaoyang Liu, Linglin Jing, Shenglong Ye, Jie Shao, et al. 2025. InternVL3.5: Advancing Open-Source Multimodal Models in Versatility, Reasoning, and Efficiency. arXiv preprint arXiv:2508.18265 (2025).
- Yijia Weng, Bowen Wen, Jonathan Tremblay, Valts Blukis, Dieter Fox, Leonidas Guibas, and Stan Birchfield. 2024. Neural Implicit Representation for Building Digital Twins of Unknown Articulated Objects. In *CVPR*.
- Thaddäus Wiedemer, Yuxuan Li, Paul Vicol, Shixiang Shane Gu, Nick Matarese, Kevin Swersky, Been Kim, Priyank Jaini, and Robert Geirhos. 2025. Video models are zero-shot learners and reasoners. arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.20328 (2025).
- Diankun Wu, Fangfu Liu, Yi-Hsin Hung, Yue Qian, Xiaohang Zhan, and Yueqi Duan. 2025. 4D-Fly: Fast 4D Reconstruction from a Single Monocular Video. In *Proc. CVPR*. 16663–16673. doi:10.1109/CVPR52734.2025.01553
- Zhen Xu, Zhengqin Li, Zhao Dong, Xiaowei Zhou, Richard Newcombe, and Zhaoyang Lv. 2025. 4DGT: Learning a 4D Gaussian Transformer Using Real-World Monocular Videos. In *Adv. Neural Inform. Process. Syst.*
- Zhan Xu, Yang Zhou, Evangelos Kalogerakis, Chris Landreth, and Karan Singh. 2020. RigNet: neural rigging for articulated characters. *ACM TOG* 39, 4 (2020), 58–1.
- Zhuoyi Yang, Jiayan Teng, Wendi Zheng, Ming Ding, Shiyu Huang, Jiazheng Xu, Yuanming Yang, Wenyi Hong, Xiaohan Zhang, Guanyu Feng, et al. 2024. Cogvideox: Text-to-video diffusion models with an expert transformer. arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.06072 (2024).
- Zijie Ye, Jia-Wei Liu, Jia Jia, Shikun Sun, and Mike Zheng Shou. 2024. Skinned Motion Retargeting with Dense Geometric Interaction Perception. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* (2024).
- Jiraphon Yenphraphai, Ashkan Mirzaei, Jianqi Chen, Jiayu Zou, Sergey Tulyakov, Raymond A. Yeh, Peter Wonka, and Chaoyang Wang. 2025. ShapeGen4D: Towards High Quality 4D Shape Generation from Videos. arXiv preprint (2025).
- Yizhou Yu, Kun Zhou, Dong Xu, Xiaohan Shi, Hujun Bao, Baining Guo, and Heung-Yeung Shum. 2004. Mesh editing with poisson-based gradient field manipulation. In *ACM TOG*. 644–651.
- Bowen Zhang, Sicheng Xu, Chuxin Wang, Jiaolong Yang, Feng Zhao, Dong Chen, and Baining Guo. 2025c. Gaussian Variation Field Diffusion for High-fidelity Video-to-4D Synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*. 12502–12513.
- Hao Zhang, Di Chang, Fang Li, Mohammad Soleymani, and Narendra Ahuja. 2025a. MagicPose4D: Crafting Articulated Models with Appearance and Motion Control. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research* 2025-June (2025). <https://openreview.net/forum?id=qgHq1NFUJk>
- Hao Zhang, Fang Li, Samyak Rawlekar, and Narendra Ahuja. 2024b. S3O: A Dual-Phase Approach for Reconstructing Dynamic Shape and Skeleton of Articulated Objects from Single Monocular Video. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning (Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, Vol. 235)*, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Zico Kolter, Katherine Heller, Adrian Weller, Nuria Oliver, Jonathan Scarlett, and Felix Berkenkamp (Eds.). PMLR, 59191–59209. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/zhang24ae.html>
- Hao Zhang, Jiahao Luo, Bohui Wan, Yizhou Zhao, Zongrui Li, Michael Vasilkovsky, Chaoyang Wang, Jian Wang, Narendra Ahuja, and Bing Zhou. 2026. RigMo: Unifying Rig and Motion Learning for Generative Animation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.06378 (2026).
- Hao Zhang, Haolan Xu, Chun Feng, Varun Jampani, and Narendra Ahuja. 2025b. Phys-Rig: Differentiable Physics-Based Skinning and Rigging Framework for Realistic Articulated Object Modeling. In *ICCV*.
- Jiaxu Zhang, Shaoli Huang, Zhigang Tu, Xin Chen, Xiaohang Zhan, Gang Yu, and Ying Shan. 2024a. TapMo: Shape-aware Motion Generation of Skeleton-free Characters. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- Jiaxu Zhang, Junwu Weng, Di Kang, Fang Zhao, Shaoli Huang, Xuefei Zhe, Linchao Bao, Ying Shan, Jue Wang, and Zhigang Tu. 2023. Skinned Motion Retargeting with Residual Perception of Motion Semantics & Geometry. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 13864–13872.
- Mingyuan Zhang, Zhongang Cai, Liang Pan, Fangzhou Hong, Xinying Guo, Lei Yang, and Ziwei Liu. 2022. MotionDiffuse: Text-Driven Human Motion Generation with Diffusion Model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.15001 (2022).